1	NATIONAL GOVERNORS ASSOCIATION
2	* * *
3	WINTER MEETING - OPENING PLENARY SESSION
4	* * *
5	J.W. MARRIOTT HOTEL
6	Salon III
7	1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
8	Washington, D.C.
9	* * *
10	Saturday, February 21, 2009
11	11:40 a.m.
12	
13	The above-entitled matter convened on
14	Saturday, February 21, 2009 at 11:40 a.m., NGA Chair Governor
15	Edward G. Rendell, presiding.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(11:40 p.m.)
3	GOVERNOR RENDELL: Good afternoon,
4	everyone. It's my pleasure to call to order, the
5	2009 Winter Meeting of the National Governors
6	Association. May I have a motion for the adoption of
7	the Rules of Procedure for this meeting?
8	VOICES: So moved.
9	GOVERNOR RENDELL: Second?
10	VOICES: Second.
11	GOVERNOR RENDELL: All in favor, say aye.
12	(Chorus of ayes.)
13	GOVERNOR RENDELL: The ayes have it. Part
14	of the new rules require that any governor who wants
15	to a submit a new policy or resolution for adoption
16	at this meeting, will need a three-fourths vote to
17	suspend the Rules to do so.
18	If you want to do that, please submit a
19	proposal in writing to David Quam of the NGA staff,
20	by 5:00 p.m. Sunday, February 22nd. It's now my
21	pleasure to introduce our newest colleagues, five of
22	the six, I think, are here and present today.
23	

1 From the First State, the state of Delaware, Governor Jack Markell. Governor? 2 3 GOVERNOR MARKELL: Here. 4 (Applause.) 5 GOVERNOR RENDELL: From Illinois, Governor Pat Quinn. Governor? б 7 (Applause.) GOVERNOR RENDELL: From Missouri, 8 9 Governor Jay Nixon. 10 (Applause.) GOVERNOR RENDELL: From North Carolina, 11 12 Governor Beverly Perdue. 13 (Applause.) 14 GOVERNOR RENDELL: From the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, Governor Luis Fortuño. 15 16 (Applause.) 17 GOVERNOR RENDELL: And not with us today, 18 but a newly installed governor taking the place of our former colleague Governor Napolitano, the 19 20 governor of Arizona, Jan Brewer. On her first day in 21 office, I placed a bet on the Super Bowl with 22 Governor Brewer, and as a result, two Pittsburghers

1 are flying to a resort weekend in Arizona.

2	(Laughter.)
3	GOVERNOR RENDELL: Well, thank you all
4	for attending what I think is going to be an
5	enormously important meeting.
б	I want to acknowledge a few guests that
7	we have with us from the White House today. I want
8	you to say hello to our director of
9	Intergovernmental Affairs, obviously a crucial
10	position for us as governors, Cecilia Muñoz.
11	(Applause.)
12	GOVERNOR RENDELL: And also with us, is
13	someone who, within that office, is going to be the
14	point-person for governorsso someone very
15	important for us to get to knowSean McGrath.
16	Sean?
17	(Applause.)
18	GOVERNOR RENDELL: I'm also proud today
19	although I haven't met them yet to have eight
20	Canadian parliamentarians with us. Are the Canadian
21	parliamentarians here? Over there.
22	(Applause.)
0.2	

1 GOVERNOR RENDELL: I note that our 2 President and your Prime Minister had a wonderful 3 visit in Canada, and I think our relationship will 4 even become stronger in the years to come. 5 Next, I want to call on Governor Haley б Barbour. Governor Barbour is going to talk to us 7 about our annual summer meeting, which is in Biloxi, Mississippi. 8 9 As you recall, it was supposed to be in Biloxi at the time that Hurricane Katrina hit and 10 11 made that impossible. Biloxi has done a great job preparing for this meeting. I know that traveling 12 these days is difficult, but our summer meeting is a 13 14 meeting where we get a lot of things done. 15 It's less structured, but sometimes we do 16 more in terms of shaping our policy than anywhere 17 else. We also have a great time and no one better 18 than Governor Barbour to have a great time with. Haley? 19 GOVERNOR BARBOUR: Thank you, Ed. Governor, thank you very much. Let me just say that 20 21 we look forward to having y'all in Biloxi, 22 Mississippi.

(Laughter.)

2	GOVERNOR BARBOUR: It's spelled funny,
3	but pronounced Buh-LUCK-see.
4	It's going to be a very fun conference.
5	Biloxi is right on the beach, a beautiful beach,
6	beautiful gulf. Within about 20 minutes, is the
7	Pascagoula River, which is the largest unchanged
8	natural river in North America. It's never had a
9	levy, never had a dam, never had been the Corps
10	of Engineers never messed around with it.
11	(Laughter.)
12	GOVERNOR BARBOUR: And we're going to
13	have an outing for spouses and for kids, and the
14	Pascagoula River is a delight. In 15 minutes, you're
15	so far away from civilization, and the fauna and
16	flora is spectacular.
17	We are going to have a really good
18	program for your families. It's a family place.
19	There are 12 casinos for your staff.
20	(Laughter.)
21	GOVERNOR BARBOUR: And the lobbyists, of
22	course.

(Laughter.)

2	GOVERNOR BARBOUR: But we're going to
3	have a really good program; it's going to be fun.
4	Some of you all who are from the South, we had the
5	Southern Governors Conference at the Beau Rivage,
б	and I think, in all immodesty, it was the most fun
7	Southern Governors Conference that we had. We had
8	great music, evenings are great fun where we're
9	going.
10	You don't need to bring your overcoat in
11	July to Mississippi, but there will be a nice breeze
12	and the air conditioning will be turned up full
13	blast.
14	So, please come. It will be a serious
15	meeting in terms of our business, but it will be a
16	heck of a lot of fun in terms of your and your
17	family's life, I promise you.
18	There's a little desk out front there,
19	that's got more info. Marsha and I really, really
20	look forward to having you and your families. It's
21	a great place for children and for those of you who
22	are my age, grandchildren, to bring down, and we

hope you will bring your families. Thanks, Ed.

2 (Applause.)

3 GOVERNOR RENDELL: Before we get to
4 today's session, I want to say a word about the NGA
5 staff.

You know, at times, particularly in tough 6 7 budget times, people question whether states should belong to an organization like this, are the dues too 8 high, things like this. Well, I was intimately 9 involved with the NGA staff from literally the time --10 the day after the election, to our meeting in 11 Philadelphia with then President-Elect Obama and Vice 12 President-Elect Biden, and through the formation of 13 14 the stimulus plan, working with both Houses of 15 Congress and the Obama Administration. 16 And let me tell you, from an insider's 17 view -- and I was an insider, inherently, as 18 Chairman -- this staff performed brilliantly, protected your interests as states and the interests 19 20 of the citizens of our states and the American people in an incredible way. 21 22 They were on it from the beginning, they

were on top of every issue, on things that were so 1 important to us, like changing language. Many of 2 you, like Pennsylvania, were benefitted in the State 3 Stabilization section on education funding, where it 4 said that states could use the federal funds to 5 defray their own costs -- budget costs -- if they were 6 7 moving to an inadequacy formula. If the states had taken that action by 8 July 1st of 2008, it's my understanding that would 9 have eliminated five states from using that money. 10 NGA staff, working with other staff of some of our 11 governors, got together and did a full court press 12 in the House and the Senate and with the 13 14 Administration, and got that language pushed back till 15 October 1st, which included an additional five 16 states. 17 We were one of them, and for 18 Pennsylvania, in two years, that's \$600 million that helped allow us to continue to put \$300 million each 19 year, additional money into our education budget. 20 21 So that's just typical of the work staff 22 did. They were great on virtually every issue,

1 worked nonstop.

2	Ray's leadership was terrific, so I'm
3	going to ask you to take a minute to thank the staff
4	for what I thought was just an incredible
5	performance.
6	(Applause.)
7	GOVERNOR RENDELL: Now, I have chosen for
8	my initiative this year, strengthening our
9	infrastructure and looking towards a sustainable
10	future. Obviously, the stimulus bill did, as
11	President-Elect Obama promised us in Philadelphia,
12	include significant infrastructure spending, over
13	\$100 billion in infrastructure spending.
14	But that is, at best, a down payment or a
15	good start. I know many of you are familiar with the
16	American Society of Civil Engineers report in 2004,
17	that this country had a \$1.6 trillion infrastructure
18	gap. That's just what would be required to put the
19	American infrastructure in good condition not to
20	build high-speed inner city rail or anything new.
21	Well, the American Society of Civil
22	Engineers did an update in 2009, and now the cap is
23	

1 \$2.2 trillion, so, obviously, \$100 billion of

2	additional spending, is just a down payment.
3	My main job, with the aid of the
4	committee and the people that are going to work with
5	me and all of you is to convince the President, the
б	Congress, and the American people that the
7	infrastructure box hasn't been checked off, it hasn't
8	been checked off the Administration's to-do list;
9	that we have to as every one of the other G-7
10	nations have done we have to commit ourselves to a
11	long-term, significant infrastructure revitalization
12	program in this country.
13	It is and always will be the best creator
14	of jobs; it is a terrific creator of orders for
15	American factories steel factories, asphalt
16	factories, concrete factories, lumber factories, and
17	the like.
18	It is something that will give us a
19	credible benefit of economic competitiveness,
20	quality of life, and public safety. We've got to do
21	it and we've got to do it now. The price keeps
22	getting higher each year.

In Pennsylvania -- and I'm sure it's true in all of your states -- road construction costs rose 3 38 percent in the last three years. If we don't do 4 it now, if we don't launch that soon, it's going to 5 get more expensive, to the point where it may be 6 eventually prohibitive.

7 I think the reauthorization of ICE-T, which will probably take place sometime next year, 8 gives us the opportunity to convince the Congress 9 10 and the President that it has to be more than just 11 transportation, and it has to be more than just a yearly program; that we have to find new and 12 creative ways to fund it; that we have to find new 13 14 ways to distribute the money.

The public wants accountability and they want experts picking the projects that go forward, not politicians. We've got to do an awful lot, and I'm going to be calling on all of you to help me with this agenda.

20 We have established a committee to do 21 just that, and five other governors are going to be 22 working with me, including Vice Chair Douglas, and

1 we are going to go forward.

2	Interestingly, we have three speakers
3	today, and one is Dr. Frank Luntz, a noted pollster
4	and Fox TV News commentator. Dr. Luntz is still
5	doing some polling, not for candidates, but for
6	issues, and he's a great wordsmith, and we asked
7	him the NGA and Building America's Future, the
8	infrastructure organization that I co-chair with
9	Mayor Blumberg of New York City and Governor
10	Schwarzenegger we asked him to do a poll on what
11	the American people's attitudes are on
12	infrastructure.
13	He'll be reporting to you about that poll
13 14	He'll be reporting to you about that poll in a minute, but it stresses accountability, and has
14	in a minute, but it stresses accountability, and has
14 15	in a minute, but it stresses accountability, and has also had one amazing finding; that the American
14 15 16	in a minute, but it stresses accountability, and has also had one amazing finding; that the American people are interested, first and foremost, in not
14 15 16 17	in a minute, but it stresses accountability, and has also had one amazing finding; that the American people are interested, first and foremost, in not bridges, roads, and highways, although they are
14 15 16 17 18	in a minute, but it stresses accountability, and has also had one amazing finding; that the American people are interested, first and foremost, in not bridges, roads, and highways, although they are interested in seeing those revitalized, but the
14 15 16 17 18 19	in a minute, but it stresses accountability, and has also had one amazing finding; that the American people are interested, first and foremost, in not bridges, roads, and highways, although they are interested in seeing those revitalized, but the number one thing they want to see built out in our
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in a minute, but it stresses accountability, and has also had one amazing finding; that the American people are interested, first and foremost, in not bridges, roads, and highways, although they are interested in seeing those revitalized, but the number one thing they want to see built out in our infrastructure and modernized, is our energy

findings, we decided we would want two energy 1 experts to be with us today, T. Boone Pickens and 2 3 John Rowe. I'll introduce them before they speak, so this session is devoted to the energy 4 5 infrastructure. 6 I'm going to call on Dr. Luntz now to 7 give us a very, very brief report. He is available for you for further followup discussions. 8 We're 9 obviously welcome to share this poll and the poll 10 results with every governor that's here, and your staffs, but it's an interesting poll, and I think 11 you'll be as surprised as I was by some of the 12 findings. Dr. Luntz? 13 14 (Slides.) 15 DR. LUNTZ: First, I have to say that 16 whenever a pollster now has to present to 17 politicians, with how anxious and angry the American 18 people are, I now understand how Dr. Kevorkian feels at an AARP convention. 19 20 (Laughter.) 21 DR. LUNTZ: I will tell you, governor, 22 that the American people are virtually 100 percent

23

on your side. That it doesn't matter whether you are 1 a Republican or a Democrat, it doesn't matter 2 3 whether you're from the deepest South or the farthest North. That the American people see a 4 5 value, a benefit, and they desperately want the infrastructure in this country to work. б 7 I love this slide for one reason: On the left-hand side is the nightmare when it comes to 8 infrastructure, and on the right-hand side is what 9 the public is looking for. 10 The idea that on our roads, American 11 Roads -- the public does not understand how any 12 13 American infrastructure would get to be so bad that 14 that photograph would exist. And it wasn't staged. 15 And, for the right amount of money, I won't tell you 16 which governor's state has that pothole. 17 On the right-hand side, to them, is 18 transportation that works. I'm going to walk through some of the 19 data very quickly, and then I think I'll take a 20 21 couple questions. First off, the public absolutely agrees, 22

15

whether you are 25 years old or 65 years old, that 1 infrastructure isn't a privilege; it is a right and 2 3 it's what differentiates us as Americans, versus 4 everybody else. 5 Number two, accountability is the most б important terminology. And even more important than 7 doing it fast, is doing it right, and the public demands accountability -- not just from the federal 8 9 government, but from state governments as well. 10 Third, it isn't just about roads and 11 bridges and highways; it is about energy, waste water, schools, trains. The broader the definition, 12 the more likely the public is to support it. 13 14 Fourth, energy comes in first among 15 almost every subgroup, and if you want to appeal to 16 the group that is most put upon, it's moms. In 17 America, for moms with kids at home, energy is that 18 much more important, because they've got to deal with filling up the car, they've got to deal with 19 20 paying the bills for electricity. It really stands 21 out as the highest priority.

22 Five, this is the one time when process

does matter. Hell hath no fury like a voter 1 scorned, and they will be mad as hell if they think 2 3 that the money was wasted. 4 They support the expenditure, they 5 support the investment, but now they expect you to deliver for them. б 7 So, let's get to some of the data, if I can make this move forward, which I cannot. 8 9 (Pause.) How many issues do you find where you get 10 81 percent support? There aren't all that many. 11 12 And when you add to it that there's going 13 to be a 1 percent tax increase -- we didn't want to 14 just say, do you want to spend more money, because 15 the public will not support that for anything. We had to 16 let them know that there was a cost to this. 17 And with 81 percent, there isn't a single 18 demographic subgroup, no geographic subgroup where less than 60 percent support this infrastructure and 19 20 are willing to pay for it, and that's what makes it 21 different. In terms of the priorities, this is a 22

great list, and I'm happy to share this information 1 with any governor. We can take a look by region and 2 3 tell you what matters most to people, and, in virtually every region, energy facilities came in 4 5 first. 6 If you take a look at the top response 7 and then the electricity grid, which was fourth, it really is about gas; it really is about fuel; it 8 really is about electricity; it really is about that 9 aspect of the American economy, because the American 10 people do not want to depend on Middle Eastern oil 11 12 anymore. 13 I don't know how much more clear they can 14 be, and they see this as part of the infrastructure. 15 Clean water treatment facilities. We 16 believe that clean water is a right, not a School buildings, which always come up 17 privilege. 18 high. I was surprised that it only came in fifth here, and that tells you how important these other 19 20 items are. 21 They're looking for quality, not 22 quantity, and they expect you to get it done

1 correctly.

23

2 Now, this is the bad news: In terms of those in Washington -- and I realize that there's 3 been a change -- basically, the American people give 4 those in Washington an F, if they were to do a 5 б grade. 7 But it's not so great for the governors, either. You're split 50/50, in terms of your own 8 9 effectiveness. 10 It's going to require communication. 11 It's going to require you to explain what you're doing, where you're doing it, how you're doing it. 12 13 And I would say to you that you may not want to do 14 press conferences frequently; you're going to have 15 to do them constantly, because the public wants to 16 know exactly what you're doing and exactly what the 17 measurement is. 18 When we asked them the priorities -- and this is going to surprise a few of you -- as 19 20 important, they want to be safe, and, as important as 21 that, is that it is national in scope. And, as 22 important as the jobs are, the strongest argument,

1 the highest priority, is that they want

2 accountability and they want measurements of 3 success. There is actually not just a policy, but 4 a lexicon for this, and I'm going to expand it 5 б slightly. If you deliver on this over the next two 7 years, not only will you have great job approval numbers, but you are singlehandedly able to restore 8 confidence in government, at least state government, 9 10 for being able to deliver. That's what that accountability is, 11 that's what those measurements of success are, 12 13 that's what the public is looking for. 14 Now, we gave them six different 15 priorities, and the two that stand out more than 16 anything else, once again -- by the way, every time we gave them the word "accountable" that's what 17 18 they chose, no matter what the measurement was. 19 It's not shovels in the ground; it's accountability that they're looking for. 20 21 The second is transparency. Let the 22 public see what you are doing, let them see the

investment, open it up to them, communicate to them, 1 and then listen to them. They really want to be 2 3 involved. 4 One of the great things that the Obama Administration has done, is that it's opened up the 5 6 democracy so that the American people want to 7 engage, they want to be involved, and on this issue, they really want to be involved. 8 9 In terms of the infrastructure priorities, you would assume safety would be first, 10 wouldn't you, that that would matter more than 11 anything else? It matters second. 12 13 Economically sound, this is the warning 14 sign for you. They want you to make the investment, 15 they want you to be broad, they want you to do it 16 now, but they want you to do it right, and they want 17 to make sure that it has an economic benefit to it 18 as well. 19 Now, governor, this is what I want you to take a look at, because you allowed me to do this. I 20 21 took some of the governor's language and some other 22 language that I had tried, and I never need a slide,

but because I believe this is on CSPAN, this is a 1 message to every politician: Accountability, 2 3 responsibility, and genuine measurements of success are essential as we rebuild America's highways, 4 5 waterways, schools, and walkways. And then you describe the four 6 7 principles: Accountability, so that the right projects are completed in the right way. 8 9 Responsibility with every dollar spent, so that the projects are completed on time and 10 11 within budget. 12 Measurements of success, so that this effort lasts for generations and contributes to a 13 14 cleaner, safer, healthier nation. 15 We're ready to deliver the right result 16 right now. You can't lose. I will give you the guarantee that not only will the public appreciate 17 18 what you have done, it will restore faith and confidence, if this is exactly what you do. 19 20 I believe in visuals, and visuals are important. I showed you the top photograph. 21 22 We gave the American people 12 different

horror shows and 12 different success stories. 1 What scares them the most is a pothole that destroys 2 3 their car, it's a school that's boarded up with 4 graffiti, and a traffic line that never ends. 5 Those are the nightmares, and I'm saying 6 that it is important for you to take photographs of 7 everything that you are doing. Take the before and the after. 8 9 I, by the way, I'm the before, the before photograph. I apologize for that. 10 11 If you take the picture first and then show people what you have done, that's how you build 12 credibility. And in terms of what they want it to 13 14 look like, on the upper left-hand side, you've got 15 those -- the highways that are clean; you've got a 16 railway. By the way, passenger rail, freight rail --17 18 we may not talk about it, because we think of highways, but rail is one of the most supported 19 20 industries in terms of the public mindset because 21 they believe that it is clean, they believe that it 22 is safe, and they believe that it is economically

efficient, and so these are to show what is clean. 1 2 There are two different questions we asked here: Do you realize that our infrastructure 3 is so bad that one-third of Americans would rather 4 drink from their toilet bowl than drink from the 5 nearest river? б 7 How many of you -- I'm going to ask this question of the audience right here: How many of 8 you guys, if I made you drink a glass of water right 9 now, would you rather drink it from your local river, 10 or would you rather drink it from your toilet bowl? 11 12 Who would drink from the river? Raise your hands. 13 14 (Show of hands.) 15 DR. LUNTZ: And who would drink from the 16 toilet bowl? 17 (Show of hands.) 18 DR. LUNTZ: Okay, anyone with your hands 19 up, you're sick. 20 (Laughter.) 21 DR. LUNTZ: And the one that I 22 particularly like, we all know how bad -- oh,

actually, one more. Do you realize that people 1 would rather be audited by the IRS than get stuck in 2 3 a traffic jam? 4 (Laughter.) 5 DR. LUNTZ: Now, I won't ask you people over here because I'm sure some of you have been б 7 audited by the IRS. 8 (Laughter.) 9 DR. LUNTZ: And one more: As bad as Britney Spears's career is, 95 percent think that 10 our infrastructure needs to be built, more than her. 11 12 (Laughter.) 13 DR. LUNTZ: That's with all due respect 14 to Britney Spears. So, the public is saying quite clearly 15 16 that they want this change, and it is bipartisan. Republicans and Democrats believe that it's 17 18 essential to the economy, want federal government spending to be increased, support a larger effort. 19 20 Seventy-five percent of people who voted 21 for John McCain will spend more in taxes for 22 infrastructure investment; 87 percent of those who

1 voted for Barack Obama will spend more.

2 Communicate it, educate the population, and they will stand behind you. I thank you very 3 4 much. 5 (Applause.) б GOVERNOR RENDELL: Thank you, Frank. 7 Frank's going to be available after our two other speakers to answer some questions you might have. 8 9 Our next speaker is T. Boone Pickens. Most people in America know T. Boone Pickens right 10 11 now, and he's a tough person to introduce, but he's 12 the founder and chairman of BP Capital Management, with more than \$4 billion under management, that was 13 14 -- I don't know whether that's pre-recession or 15 post. 16 MR. PICKENS: It's pre. 17 GOVERNOR RENDELL: So it's pre, Mr. 18 Pickens says. 19 Making him one of the nation's most 20 successful energy investment funds. He is also, 21 through Mesa Water, the largest private owner of 22 permitted groundwater rights in the United States, 23

and is the founder and largest shareholder of Clean 1 2 Energy, a company that is advancing the use of 3 natural gas as a transportation fuel. 4 Many of us know Boone from his days as the founder of Mesa Petroleum, which grew to be one 5 6 of the largest and most well-known independent 7 exploration and production companies in the United 8 States. 9 Boone has also been a noted philosopher -philosopher, too, but philanthropist, giving away 10 almost one-half billion dollars through the T. Boone 11 Pickens Foundation. 12 He's here to discuss the Pickens Plan, 13 14 which is an effort to reduce oil imports over 10 15 years by increasing the nation's reliance on wind 16 for electricity generation and natural gas for 17 transportation fuels. 18 I want to say, every once in a while, 19 people say, can you influence the public debate and discourse from the private sector, or do you have to 20 21 run for office, do you have to be in government? 22 Well, I think no one in America has done more to

23

advance the cause of renewable energy than T. Boone 1 Pickens. And he's done it using his own money, not 2 3 because he's interested in profit for his companies, but because he's interested in America being, as 4 5 Frank Luntz said, energy independent -- not reliant on fuel from anywhere else, but fuel produced in б 7 America -- and because he's one of this nation's great visionaries. 8 9 Mr. Pickens? 10 (Applause.) 11 MR. PICKENS: Governor, thank you very much. I'm going to state the problem pretty 12 13 quickly, and I'm going to give you a solution. 14 I think your questions to me are 15 probably going to be more important on information 16 I'm going to pick up here today because you've heard the Pickens Plan. 17 18 But let me just name off -- I've got 14 governors that have signed up on the Pickens Plan: 19 20 The governor of Idaho; Governor Sebelius from 21 Kansas; Governor Schweitzer from Montana; Richardson 22 from New Mexico; Strickland from Ohio; Brad Henry

23

from Oklahoma; the governor of Rhode Island; Mark 1 Sanford, South Carolina; Huntsman from Utah; the 2 3 governor of Washington; Rendell of Pennsylvania; 4 Pawlenty, Minnesota. 5 This is the way they signed up with me, they came in that order. Here, Texas, can you 6 7 believe, is next to last, Rick Perry. And my dear, dear friend who I've worked with for years and 8 9 years, Haley Barbour, was number 14. 10 But I'm hoping that I'll get more of you 11 to sign up with me after you hear what I have to say. You know, America does so many good things 12 around the world, and it breaks my heart and I 13 14 know yours, too, that we don't get credit for a lot of the things that we do do that are good. 15 16 We're a generous people and we're kind. 17 Do we make mistakes? A few, but we're good. 18 But our credibility is horrible, and I've struggled with why it is we do so much and give so 19 20 much and get so little credit for it. 21 One big problem that we have on 22 credibility is the fact that we import almost 70

percent of all the oil we use every day in the 1 United States. How can that hurt our credibility? 2 3 I do think that around the world, as 4 they look at that, they cannot believe it, they can't believe -- whether we're stupid, lazy, or what. 5 б But if you look at the oil produced every day, it's 7 85 million barrels of oil that's produced globally, and we're using 21 million of the 85 million. 8 9 And we're importing almost 70 percent of our 21 million barrels every day. But 21 million of 10 11 85 is 25 percent; we're using 25 percent with 4 percent of the population. 12 Now, I promise you, this is going to 13 14 cause us a lot of problems in the future, and we are 15 going to be attacked for this use of the global oil 16 supply. I know we're more highly industrialized, but they don't give a damn. 17 18 They don't care whether you're highly Industrialized. It's going to be viewed as we are 19 greedy, using more than we should, and somebody 20 21 says, well, but you produce a lot of oil. We 22 produce five million barrels a day. We peaked in

23

1970 at 10 million barrels a day. We are in steep
 2 decline, never to recover.

3 An average oil well in the United States, produces five barrels a day, and an average oil well 4 in Saudi Arabia is 5,000 barrels a day, and we're a 5 б marginal producer, is what we are. 7 And we only have in the United States, 3 percent of all the oil reserves in the world --8 9 only 3 percent. You know, you're not even hardly a producer, is what it is. I mean, you're 10 just on the margin as far as production is 11 12 concerned. Okay, they see that. You say, well, we 13 14 don't have any choice. If you look at what Exxon 15 said about it in an ad in the Wall Street Journal a 16 month ago, it said we can never be energy 17 independent. 18 Yeah, we can be energy independent, we 19 sure can. Look at the resources that we have 20 available to us. 21 Okay, there are renewables. The Democrats love this. I've had a hard time -- you 22

know, I'm a Republican. I've had a horrible time
 trying to convince Republicans that renewables make
 sense.

4 They make sense. It's a resource in 5 America that has to be developed. We have never 6 been tasked, never been tasked to really solve our 7 energy problem. Why? Because you had cheap oil. We said, send it to us, never mind the 8 price. Then, all at once, you're paying \$4 a gallon 9 for gasoline in July of 2008. Everybody said, wait a 10 minute, I had no idea I'd pay \$4 a gallon. 11

12 Well, a big mistake that we've made, we should have taxed gasoline, just like the Europeans 13 14 did. If we'd taxed it, then we'd have smaller cars. 15 Well, I don't want a smaller car. Okay, 16 well, get a big one then, but you're going to pay more for the fuel for the car, is the point. We're 17 18 moving around a lot of heavy equipment that doesn't even make sense. 19

I looked out the window of my office. I'm right by the King School in Dallas, and I was standing looking out, and I said, look at that. I

said, there are 22 SUVs parked with one passenger

2	car in the group, so it's 21 to one.
3	And those moms were picking up one kid or
4	two kids. I watched and I said, look at that,
5	there's one kid, four times there; oh, there's two
б	kids getting in that car. You know, I mean, if you
7	think about it, we don't need this.
8	You say, well, it's America; it's America
9	and I want this. Okay, you'll have it then, but
10	energy is going to go up.
11	Now, you know, when I launched the
12	Pickens Plan on July the 8th of 08, the price of
13	gasoline here in Washington, was \$4.11, and I said
14	of course everybody was saying yeah, you've got to
15	do something, you've got to do something, look at
16	that gasoline price.
17	The price goes down to \$1.40 five months
18	later, and they say, is it so important now? Yeah,
19	it's important, because the saddest part of our
20	problem is with the level of imports at 70 percent
21	that your security for the country is at risk, absolutely
22	at risk.

1	If you look at what's happened for 40
2	years 40 years no energy plan for America,
3	none. You look at what Richard Nixon said in 1970,
4	we're importing 20 percent of our oil today, at the
5	end of this decade, we will not be importing any
6	oil.
7	We did, 28 percent. You go forward from
8	there, and everybody who ran for President Democrat
9	or Republican said, elect me and we'll be energy
10	independent. Never did the media ever hold them
11	accountable, with, do you remember what you said when
12	you were running? Now you're elected, how are you
13	coming on with reducing the dependency on foreign
14	oil?
15	I never heard that question one time from
16	the media to the President.
17	Now we've progressed. In '91, when the
18	Gulf War was on, we were importing over 50 percent;
19	now we're almost 70 percent. If we don't do
20	anything for the next 10 years, from the last 40 - $$
21	- okay, we haven't done anything for 40 years, so we
22	have a record.

1 If we allow it to continue, in 10 years, we will be importing 75 percent of our oil, and I 2 promise you, you'll be paying \$200 to \$300 a barrel 3 for the oil. 4 5 Okay, now, look at the OPEC nations, and б five years ago, their revenues from all sources -- not 7 just from the United States -- were \$250 billion, five 8 years ago. 9 In 2008, the revenues were \$1,250,000,000,000. Their revenue is going to be 10 11 three times that by the time you get out just ten years. That's where you're going to be. 12 I mean, we cannot -- one thing it will 13 14 solve, if that case is the one that we deal with, 15 and you're out to 2019, there will be a couple of 16 things that are going to be solved. If you are paying \$300 a barrel for oil at that point and 17 18 importing 75 percent, you won't have to worry about health care or education because you won't have any 19 20 money. It will be solved because we don't have any 21 money. 22 That will be a sad solution, but here you

are, you see the problem, the wind you've got to use, 1 you've got to use the solar. There's no question 2 3 they can be used. Okay, now, how does it go to the 4 solution? The solution is our resources. I 5 mentioned wind and solar. б 7 Now we're going to be tasked. If this thing works like I want it to, we'll be tasked as 8 9 Americans to solve our problem. 10 It will be very much like war without guns. It will have nothing to do with politics; it 11 12 will be a totally nonpartisan issue. It's an opportunity for the President of the United States 13 14 to stand up, monthly, and make a report to us, which 15 fits Frank's information we just saw up there on the 16 board. I saw it up there, because I couldn't see, it's too small here. 17 18 But, anyway, there, you're going to get --19 spend the money, you're going to have transparency, great. We're seeing the same thing on our polls. 20 21 And you're going to be graded and you will have to 22 have results.

1 And if the President would come forth and tell us that this is us, Americans, altogether, 2 3 we're going to march in the same direction, we're 4 not going to quibble, we're not going to argue, 5 we're going to use our resources, and we're going to solve the problem. б 7 I think the credibility of America would rise dramatically with that kind of leadership. 8 The reason we're in the problem, we didn't have the 9 leadership in the past 40 years, but we have had 10 11 cheap oil. It's pretty easy to let things go when you have cheap oil, not to try to identify who's 12 selling it to us. 13 14 In our case now, 57 percent of the 70 15 percent of our oil comes from the Mideast, Africa, 16 and Venezuela. I mean, this is the enemy. You're 17 paying for both sides of a war. 18 And, you know, you think, this isn't really very smart for us to do this. Okay, what are 19 20 the solutions? 21 You've got the renewables I mentioned. 22 Go to the natural gas. Natural gas is cleaner, it's

23

1 cheaper, it's abundant, and it's ours.

2	Some say, well, if it's so good, why
3	hasn't it happened, Boone? It hasn't happened
4	because, one, the major oil companies didn't want
5	it, the car manufacturers didn't want it, and the
6	chemical companies didn't want it.
7	That's a pretty tough crowd to compete
8	against, but the American people and the way this
9	is going to pull together, I now have over 17
10	million who have come in on my Web site.
11	Now, I don't even know anything about
12	this, honestly; but I went out and hired some people
13	that said, we can do this, and if the people are
14	interested, you're going to hear from them, and if
15	they are really interested, they are going to sign up
16	with you.
17	I now have a million and a half people
18	signed up with me. We call it the Pickens Plan
19	Army.
20	These people will respond. I mean, the
21	other day, we got 60,000 e-mails out in a matter of
22	five hours, and so they will respond.
22	

1 Now, I went to Washington for 30 years as kind of a pseudo-rich guy to a big rich guy over that 2 3 30-year period. What did it get me? Well, when I first went, I waited 30 4 5 minutes to see a Congressman, and then when I got to big rich, they waited 30 minutes to see me. б 7 (Laughter.) MR. PICKENS: But what did I get? I got 8 a very respectful visit, they were nice to me and 9 wanted to help me any way they could. But what 10 11 happened? Nothing -- not one damn thing happened. 12 Boy, I can tell you now, with a million 13 and a half people signed up with me, I'm a lot more 14 powerful than I was as a big rich guy in Washington, 15 going in and shaking hands of everybody and everybody 16 being nice to me. 17 I mean, it's a million and a half people. I think this has got to be solved from the ground up. 18 19 In our polls, we came off with this result, which I suspected -- that the American people 20 21 thought the politicians in Washington were lying to them about energy. That wasn't what happened. 22

1 When we looked at it -- and I know what goes on in Washington -- they weren't lying to 2 anybody; they didn't know. They honestly did not 3 know anything about energy. 4 5 And I think this is the number one issue б in this country right now. Now, you say, no, no --7 the financial condition. There's no question it certainly has the spotlight, and I think it will be, 8 9 but I don't want to get off on that subject, but I 10 think it's going to be cleaned up. But you're going to have energy and 11 you're going to have to get it fixed. That's the 12 way it's going to happen. 13 14 You're going to use natural gas for the 15 heavy duty transportation. When I met with Obama 16 and met with McCain, a week apart in September, in talking to them, they both said they would like to 17 18 get to the battery as quick as they could. 19 And I said, well, you've got to 20 understand that batteries won't move an 18-wheeler. 21 Both of them had the same look on their faces and 22 said, it won't?

1	That's the same thing Al Gore said to me
2	when Al wanted to go to the battery next Monday, on
3	everything in America. You know, I said, Al, it's
4	too quick, and you know it won't move an 18-
5	wheeler. It won't? Hell no, it won't. You've only
б	got one resource in America.
7	When I talked to McCain and I told him
8	that, he said, you're trying to get me to pick
9	winners. I said, well, senator, the winner's been
10	picked. We only have one in America that's going to
11	move an 18-wheeler.
12	For the biggest users of fuel in America,
13	we have one resource that will do the job, and it's
14	better than the one we're using. It's cleaner, it's
15	cheaper, it's ours.
16	Who else is doing this? The Iranians
17	are. Russia is building natural gas fueling
18	stations all over Europe. The biggest bus fleet in
19	the United States is LAMTA, which has 2800 buses
20	that have been on it.
21	Where's the largest bus fleet in the
22	world? Bejing Transit, 4500 buses. There are 10
23	

million vehicles in America that are on natural gas -1 - 10 million. I said "in America;" I mean in the 2 3 world -- 10 million in the world. We have 142,000. It's crazy. We have a 4 fuel that's in abundance, available to us. Why 5 don't we use it? б 7 Okay, so our plan to the Transition Team, was 380,000 18-wheelers. This is a test case. 8 Everything I tell you, I've got a model for, an 9 operating model for. 10 11 We told them and they said, what's the model for this? It's Southern California. 12 The 13 Southern California Air Quality Management District --14 a powerful outfit, got plenty of money, can do 15 whatever they have to -- and they were working on air quality eight years ago, with a smart guy, a guy 16 17 named Barry Wallerstein. Wallerstein said, find me the biggest 18 polluter problem here in Southern California, and 19 tell me what are they, who are they? They said, 20 21 well, trash trucks. They work 24/7, and they idle --22 emissions are bad and everything.

1 He said, okay, figure out how can we fix it? They said, well, you can switch over to natural 2 3 gas; it's 80 percent cleaner. Okay, what's it going to cost? \$50,000 a truck. It's more expensive for 4 the trucks, but we can switch over; we have money, 5 let's do it. б 7 How do we do it seamlessly? He said, when they replace them, they replace them with 8 natural gas; they cannot replace with diesel. You 9 10 take one diesel truck off the road in Southern California, it's equivalent to 325 cars. 11 12 So here, he can see quantifiable results, and just like the doctor said a few minutes ago, 13 14 you're going to have to look at things, see what your 15 results are, and be able to explain. 16 All right, here, they could see quickly what they were doing, and did it fix it? Yeah, it 17 18 fixed it. Now, over half the trash trucks in Southern California are on natural gas. 19 20 Did it cause any problems? Didn't cause any problems. 21 22 You can do the same thing with 380,000

18-wheelers. Okay, let's say we do it. How's it 1 going to unfold? An 80,000 incentive for the 2 3 equipment, so that's going to cost us \$30 billion. That's a direct gallon-for-gallon 4 5 reduction in foreign oil when you do that, so you're going to be able to identify how much is it going to б 7 do. It's going to be probably somewhere 8 around a 4 percent reduction in imports if you use 9 natural gas on 380,000 18-wheelers -- 450,000 jobs, 10 directly, if you did this; 1,600,000 jobs 11 indirectly. 12 13 Now, the McKenzie people looked at this, 14 we gave it to the Transition Team, and they have run 15 the numbers and they agree with us those are 16 accurate. 17 Okay, what does this mean for the next 18 step? Now you have the model, and this is going to happen to you in probably three to four years. 19 20 If you look, for instance, at Swift Trucking, Jerry Moyer's outfit -- the biggest in the 21 22 country, 20,000 18-wheelers -- he rolls that fleet

over, if you can believe, being a business where you 1 receive 23 Kenworth trucks every day, seven days a 2 week, times 365 days, is 7,000 new Kenworth trucks 3 4 every year. 5 That's one hell of a lot of trucks, no 6 kidding, but he rolls that fleet out every three 7 years, is what happens. Well, that's the way I see this moving seamlessly into our own fuel with our 8 9 own trucks, that create jobs for our people. 10 Okay, with that, I've got one other point I wanted to make on -- oh, there are 6.5 million 18-11 wheelers in America. We're talking about 380,000 on 12 the first cut, on the first cut, so it leaves us a 13 14 lot of vehicles there to do it. 15 Okay, now, where do all these other cars 16 qo? When I talked with Senator Obama, he said, is 17 there anything I say that makes you uncomfortable 18 about energy? I said, yeah, you talk a lot about one million plug-in hybrids. 19 20 And you know that when somebody challenges you like that, you'll sit up straight in 21 22 your chair, you know. And he said, Mr. Pickens, I'm

1 ga

going to have 1 million plug-in hybrids in 10

2	years; you can count on that.
3	And I said, well, you need to step back
4	and, first, I said, if we look out the window and
5	there were a million cars on that parking lot we
6	were in Reno, Nevada I said, it would look like
7	one hell of a lot of cars, wouldn't it? And he said,
8	it sure would.
9	And I said, now, step back and look at
10	America. You're President now, and look at the
11	magnitude of your problem. There are 250 million
12	vehicles and we're turning out about 13 or 14
13	million vehicles a year, and you're going to have
14	1 million in 10 years?
15	He was quiet for a second, and he looked
16	at me and smiled and he said, it's not very many, is
17	it?
18	(Laughter.)
19	MR. PICKENS: But you don't realize the
20	magnitude of the problem. I mean, it's hard to
21	fathom that we're sitting here importing this much.
22	Okay, now, I told him, I said, but don't

get rid of the 1 million, but you've got to add to it, you've got to do more than that.

3	Okay, the way I see the passenger cars
4	that we're driving, you'll go to a battery at some
5	point, but the battery is not quite there. I was on
6	a deal in Ft. Lauderdale, Florida, two days ago with
7	Michael Jackson, who runs Auto Nations, and he said,
8	what they don't explain to people when they say go to
9	the battery, he said, the battery weighs a thousand
10	pounds, and the cost of the vehicle is \$15,000 more.
11	So you're going to have to deal with
12	that, too. Will natural gas work? Sure it will
13	work. I have the only passenger car I said this
14	one time and I was challenged. Why do you have the
15	only passenger car in America?
16	And I said, no, no, no, they make a bunch
17	of them, but of the only model they make, I have one
18	of them. He said, well, what you said was, you had
19	the only car, so that's right, I did, and that was
20	wrong. But it's a Honda GX Civic, and I fuel it in my
21	garage at home at night.

22 And the cost of the fuel is about a

dollar a gallon for me, and it's a commuter car. 1 It's not a car I'm going to get in and drive to 2 3 Chicago from Dallas, but it's a car that I can drive and commute 100 miles, if I wanted to. 4 5 I don't do that. In fact, I told the story in Austin the other day, and I said that б 7 there's one weakness to my commuter car. I said, I live less than a mile from my office. 8 9 (Laughter.) 10 MR. PICKENS: Some guy in the back of the room said, hell, why don't you just walk? 11 12 (Laughter.) 13 MR. PICKENS: That's not a bad idea, 14 either. 15 (Laughter.) 16 MR. PICKENS: But this car -- I mean, 17 there's only one passenger car made in America, and 18 it's a Honda GX Civic. 19 Does General Motors know how to make 20 these cars? Sure, they make 19 of them, but not in 21 America. They make them for South America and 22 Europe.

1 If you were in Paris today, you could probably look at 40 or 45 models that could use 2 3 natural gas. Why not here? We have an abundance of 4 it. It's cheap, it's ours. Why don't we use it? 5 There's never been anybody that has б promoted it, and we've had -- I told you was going 7 to lobby against it; it will be the major oil companies, major chemical companies, and car 8 9 manufacturers. 10 So it's never had a champion, and so, okay, I've taken us to this point, and I'm probably 11 over time. I haven't got a watch. 12 13 One time, I put my watch up on a deal 14 like this, so I could watch it. I was in Denver and 15 I was speaking to a convention of CPAs, and I got 16 through, and sat down and they asked me to come back up, they had a nice gift for me. 17 18 (Laughter.) 19 MR. PICKENS: And they said some nice things about my remarks and all and then gave me my 20 21 watch. (Laughter.) 22

1 MR. PICKENS: Now, isn't that like a 2 bunch of CPAs? 3 (Laughter.) 4 MR. PICKENS: Okay, questions, let me 5 have your questions. 6 (Applause.) 7 GOVERNOR RENDELL: I said to Boone, if it's all right, we're going to take John and then 8 9 have questions for all of our guests. 10 We didn't even talk about wind energy, 11 and wind energy is an important part of the Pickens Plan, and maybe you'd like to ask him about that. 12 But he really is a visionary, and for many of the 13 14 states who are enjoying a little bit of an economic 15 gold rush in this hard time, with the drilling 16 that's taking place under the Marsala Shale, it is 17 amazing how much resource we have in natural gas. 18 John Rowe is the president and CEO and chairman of the Board of Exelon. Exelon is one of 19 20 the nation's largest utilities. It serves 5.2 21 million customers, mostly in Pennsylvania, Illinois, 22 and Texas, some in New England. It has more than \$14

billion in revenue. It's been named by Forbes as one 1 2 of the best managed companies in America and one of 3 the 2,000 leading companies in America. John's been in the utility business since 4 5 1984, mostly in New England, before he joined Exelon. John -- like Mr. Pickens -- and Exelon are 6 7 very philanthropic, although as a governor who has Exelon in his state, I will say that they fell a 8 9 little bit shy of that half-billion mark. 10 John does a great job with his company, and as all of us know, the stimulus plan is 11 dedicated to building out the electricity grid in 12 13 this country. 14 Mr. Pickens didn't talk about wind 15 energy, but we can, in all of our states, produce as 16 many wind energy farms as we'd like, but unless we 17 can get the grid to take the energy produced in those wind energy farms, into the population 18 centers, it won't matter. 19 20 John Rowe's going to tell us how America should be doing that. John? 21 22 (Applause.)

1	MR. ROWE: Thank you, Governor Rendell.
2	Like Mr. Pickens, I've long been frustrated by the
3	difference in this nation between the way we talk
4	about energy policy and what we actually do.
5	It reminds one of Mark Twain's famous
б	comment that everybody talks about the weather, but
7	no one does anything about it.
8	Governor Rendell is doing something about
9	it in Pennsylvania; Mr. Pickens has announced his
10	proposal; President Obama has a three-part plan, the
11	investments in infrastructure through the stimulus
12	package, a renewable portfolio standard, and a cap
13	and trade bill to put a price on carbon.
14	My own views come from work that the
15	President's Science Advisor John Holbrun, former
16	EPA Administrator Bill Riley, and I did with a
17	group called the National Commission on Energy
18	Policy.
19	Fundamentally, our work suggested that
20	you've got to have more renewables, you've got to
21	have much more energy efficiency, you need to use
22	all the gas you can get, and, ultimately, you have
23	

1 to make either new nuclear or carbon sequestration
2 work.

3	All of those are very big challenges. I
4	only wish to differ with Mr. Pickens in one
5	important respect: I want that gas to make
6	electricity, and the reason I make the point, is not
7	to quibble; it is that we estimate that price per
8	voided ton of carbon, by burning gas, is around \$10,
9	and the price by buying wind, is closer to \$70.
10	Now, they both have to be part of the
11	picture, but we need an economic system. The
12	National Commission said that a cap and trade system
13	is the best way to try to make a real market in more
14	efficient forms of energy.
15	I believe that is so, although a carbon
16	tax is just as good. The point I want to make is
17	that we cannot just deal with energy problems
18	piecemeal.
19	We can't do them by picking one favorite
20	technology this year and a different favorite
21	technology next year. We must have a system like
22	cap and trade or like a carbon tax that

rationalizes the economics between alternatives, so 1 that the entire engine of the economy is looking for 2 3 the cheapest solutions. It makes a big difference. McKenzie has 4 5 estimated that maybe we could meet the President's б goals at a price of something like \$50 a ton. 7 That's about five cents a kilowatt hour, incremental, for electricity. 8 9 I've heard estimates that the California renewables standard may cost as much as \$150 a ton. 10 That's an incremental 15 cents a kilowatt hour. 11 12 My point is, we've got to deal with the 13 climate issue, we've got to deal with the energy 14 independence issue, and in this economy, we must do 15 it as efficiently as possible. Now, utilities are 16 stubborn beasties, as all of you know from your own 17 states, but we genuinely are infrastructure 18 companies. 19 We love infrastructures. We're the only people you know who can get positively rhapsodic 20 21 over the site of a transmission line. 22 (Laughter.)

1 MR. ROWE: We like the stuff. We want to build what Governor Rendell wants us to build. We 2 3 want a deeper, richer grid that allows more kinds of 4 energy to reach our states. 5 We want a smarter grid that allows 6 customers to make more decisions about how they use 7 that electricity. And yet with us, as with others, the good news comes with some bad news. 8 9 The good news is, we can raise most of the money ourselves through our rates. The bad news 10 is that we, and sometimes you, are accountable when 11 12 those rates go up. 13 So, we and you have to watch an 14 infrastructure and other ways, that we get our 15 money's worth from these new investments. 16 We are working very hard on this in both 17 Pennsylvania and Illinois. We have in Illinois, 18 programs to subsidize customer energy efficiency, that will shortly reach \$200 billion a year. 19 20 In Philadelphia, while we're a somewhat smaller company, we'll be proposing measures that 21 22 will reach \$100 billion a year in the near future.

1 Philadelphia is ahead of Illinois in the installation of smarter meters, and we will be doing 2 3 that as part of a smarter grid. 4 Everyone talks, and you see it on 5 television, about smart grids. I don't guite know anyone who knows exactly what a smart grid is, but б it's some combination of more and better 7 transmission, newer and better cables, and smart new 8 meters that give customers more control over what 9 they do and give utilities the capability to 10 11 respond more rapidly to storms and other system problems. 12 We, as utilities, are all for these 13 things. The issue, of course, is, they all cost 14 15 money. 16 It has been estimated that over the next several decades, our industry will have to spend 17 18 something like \$300 billion, just on its transmission system. 19 20 And there's another number of that 21 magnitude for the distribution system, and another 22 number that's even larger, for a newer, low-carbon

1 generation fleet.

2	As Everett Dirksen used to say, more or
3	less, a trillion here, a trillion there, and pretty
4	soon, you've got money.
5	It's terribly important that we do these
6	things. It is terribly important that we do them
7	with constant feedback loops to make certain that
8	these very big investments are going in the right
9	direction and getting as close as we can get to
10	optimum supplies.
11	I think Governor Rendell has gone a long
12	way with his energy efficiency funds in
13	Pennsylvania. I believe the stimulus package gives
14	you, the states, very special opportunities to
15	invest in public facilities to help in low-income
16	facilities, with weatherization and things that have
17	a big payoff right away.
18	I want to use one particular example of
19	something that worked. We renovated our home office
20	building. We were able to economically cut our
21	energy use in our ten floors, by 50 percent.
22	Now, we can't do it that economically
23	

everywhere, but I think we can get 25 percent in 1 almost all of our buildings, economically. And it's 2 3 simply the best thing we can be doing. 4 And it's so important for the low-income 5 customers that we have, the low-income constituents 6 that you have, to help them with energy efficiency. 7 So when I talk about infrastructure, I think I mean what Governor Rendell means, or I mean 8 what I think Governor Rendell means, which is an 9 integrated system of generation, transmission, 10 distribution, and customer measures that will make a 11 12 cleaner supply, that make a more domestic supply, 13 that creates jobs here in the United States, and 14 that deals with the climate issue in a way that we 15 can afford. 16 It can be done, but the solution requires attention to the whole infrastructure -- the 17 transmission, the distribution, the meters, the 18 generation -- we need that wind, we need to use gas 19 wherever we can, we're going to need either coal or 20 21 new nuclear, and if we throw all our bets on any one

of those things, we'll probably be wrong again.

23

22

1	So we have to go forward with a diverse
2	energy supply, directing it always toward cleaner
3	ends, and we need a cap and trade system, we need a
4	carbon tax, because the sum of a bunch of piecemeal
5	investments, will not give us an optimum result.
6	Thank you very much.
7	(Applause.)
8	GOVERNOR RENDELL: Okay, any questions
9	for Boone, John, or Frank? Haley?
10	GOVERNOR BARBOUR: I'd got some low-tech
11	over here. My questions for both Jim and Boone are
12	about costs. Both of them brought up some cost
13	figures.
14	Jim, in my state, a 15-cent increase in
15	the kilowatt hour electricity has almost tripled.
16	And Boone mentioned \$4 gasoline wasn't high enough
17	to make some of these alternatives competitive.
18	And I just am curious to explore, where
19	can we get data about costs? Where can we learn
20	more? Cost doesn't get talked about very much.
21	Where can we get the data from each one of y'all's
22	perspective, to learn what it's going to cost our
0.0	

1 constituents to do this?

GOVERNOR RENDELL: Well, John, would you 2 take the first crack at that? Then, Boone, will you 3 4 answer it, and you could say something about wind, as 5 well. б MR. ROWE: Governor, we share your view 7 that 15 cents is too much, and that's why I do try to talk about cost. 8 9 Our best information on cost is on our Web site, exelon.com, and it's under a plan called 10 11 Exelon 2020, where we propose ways, with a mix of efficiency, gas, some wind, and increments to our 12 13 nuclear fleet, to add -- to reduce our carbon footprint over 10 years, at a much lower cost than 14 15 that. But the cost is key, Governor Barbour, I 16 17 agree. 18 MR. PICKENS: Haley -- it was Haley, wasn't it? 19 20 GOVERNOR RENDELL: Yes. 21 MR. PICKENS: I didn't -- I misled you 22 with my remark there that you had to tax gasoline to 23

make the renewables work. I just feel like that for 1 years in this country, that we have taken energy for 2 granted, and we haven't taxed when others have. 3 4 But if you look back at the Department of 5 Energy's April '07 study, they showed you clearly б that you could put a wind corridor -- a beautiful wind 7 corridor from Texas to Canada -- up through the Great Plains. 8 9 And the people want it, the people want It's not like Cape Cod; it's where --10 it. 11 (Laughter.) MR. PICKENS: I mean, seriously, but if 12 you have a small piece of land, you really wouldn't 13 14 want a turbine sitting there next to you, but if 15 you're looking at landowners that own maybe, you 16 know, a thousand acres or more, they desperately 17 want these. 18 I was down in Sweetwater, Texas, where that town is a model for showing what you could do 19 to rebuild America. And it's a huge opportunity to 20 21 rebuild rural America, which has, as we all know, 22 gone downhill.

1 And Sweetwater is a perfect location to 2 see what's happened there. They were a 12,000 population town and had gone below 10,000 and not 3 any opportunities for kids coming out of school 4 5 there, and so they move away. I'm convinced that there are a lot of 6 7 kids that don't want to go to the city; they'd rather stay in a rural environment. And here, when 8 you look at it, now, the town's above 12,000, they 9 have 3,000 megawatts of power there, and 25 percent 10 of their jobs are wind-related. 11 12 That's huge. What could happen, if we 13 took that study of the Department of Energy, that 14 you did 20 percent of your power in 10 years, from 15 Texas to Canada, which they say can be done, and 16 there's no question that it can be done? 17 I've done a lot of talking to Warren Buffett about this subject because he's in the wind 18 business, he's in the transmission business, and he 19 said, we don't have to have the government pay for 20 21 this. He said, we can do it ourselves, which, as John said the same thing -- that this isn't something 22

1 that has to be publicly paid for.

2	But look at the potential of this. If
3	you did 20 percent of your energy, your power
4	generation came from that Great Plains area, you
5	would your first year, you would create 138,000
6	jobs, but within 10 years, because manufacturing
7	and everything else would have to move into this
8	corridor to service the industry, you would be up to
9	3.5 million jobs.
10	Now, that's another set of numbers that
11	McKenzie looked at in the Transition Team, from what
12	we gave them. They came in and looked at all of
13	that.
14	There's plenty of wind, there's no
15	question about that. The solar is just a shade
16	behind, and you're going to have that, and that's a
17	corridor from Texas to California.
18	You can really we could absolutely
19	rejuvenate rural America with this, and what it
20	could do for your economy, would be unbelievable.
21	I think you could put the recovery of the
22	economy on the energy question, and if it was

properly designed and everybody was onboard on it, I 1 think you could get there, you could recover, the 2 3 economy could recover off of energy. 4 But natural gas, so that we don't leave 5 here with another misconception of what I've said, 6 natural gas is just a bridge. Natural gas is not the -- somebody said, well, it's not forever, can 7 you do it forever? Well, of course, you can't do it 8 9 forever; it's a finite resource. 10 And they said, well, it's just like oil, 11 so we'd be back in the same spot. Well, no, you won't be back in the same spot, because we're 12 importing oil and we're not importing -- we import 13 14 natural gas from Canada, but I feel like North America kind of is all home, but how much do we 15 16 import? About 13 percent from Canada. How much do we have as LNG coming into the United States? 17 18 Probably somewhere around one or two percent. 19 But we've got plenty of gas, but we only have it for 20 or 30 years, so this is going to 20 21 solve the problem. It will be the bridge to the 22 battery or to the fuel cell, which is where we'll

1 go.

2	I mean, I'm not kidding you, we have got
3	to do this, and we have to use this resource. You
4	know, I never will forget wthat Bob Dole told me one
5	time in 1990, and I was telling Bob Dole and several
б	other senators over lunch, and I said you guys
7	have got to be crazy, I said, doing this ethanol.
8	I said, you're exhausting more energy
9	than you're creating, and I gave them I hoped it
10	wasn't a lecture, but the way Bob told me, he said,
11	it kind of came off that way.
12	And so he and I were walking down the
13	hall a few minutes later, and he said, well, Boone,
14	he said, you're coming up here telling us about
15	things we already know about, and that's wasting
16	your time and our time, too.
17	He said, you need to understand something
18	about politics. He said, there are 21 farm states,
19	and I said, I'm starting to see some right now, and
20	he said, and they have two senators and that's 42,
21	and I said, okay, okay, I get it.
22	And he said, we want ethanol and, by god,
~ ~	

we're going to have it. And I said, I understand. I 1 won't ever come back again and tell you anything 2 about ethanol being a fuel that we shouldn't be 3 4 fooling around with. 5 Okay, having said that, I now am a semiб advocate for ethanol. 7 (Laughter.) MR. PICKENS: Not because Bob said that, 8 but it's because it replaces foreign oil. I would 9 rather have anything in America than I would foreign 10 oil. I'll take anything. 11 12 I'm not opposed to anything, so when you 13 leave and say, well, Boone's for, you know, nuclear, 14 he's for biofuels, he's for geothermal, he's for OCS 15 drilling; I'm for anything that's ours. 16 And I've got to get off the foreign oil because it's a security issue. 17 18 GOVERNOR RENDELL: Governor Manchin and Governor Schweitzer. 19 20 GOVERNOR MANCHIN: I've got two questions, and one to Mr. Rowe and one to Mr. 21 Pickens. Mr. Rowe, first of all, you know, coal is 22

about 50 percent of the energy base that we have, 1 baseload we have in the United States, and everyone 2 3 tells me that we're going to be using it for guite 4 some time. 5 In my little state, we produce quite a б bit of it, as you know. But with that being said, we 7 know we have to be part of the mix, and we're doing wind, solar, biofuels, hydro, everything, and I agree 8 9 with both of you. 10 Let me ask on this, you talk about cap 11 and trade, you talk about a carbon tax, and I like to think of a technology fee. Why is it so 12 disproportionate, when all the money is going to come 13 from the burning of carbon, that very little goes 14 15 back towards funding the research and technology, and 16 how do they realistically think they're going to find 17 a solution? MR. ROWE: Well, sir, like you, I think 18 we have to invest in research on carbon 19 sequestration because if we don't put R&D money 20 21 into how we make coal a genuinely clean fuel, we're 22 just caught in a conundrum we can't handle.

23

1 So, I support your views that we ought to be investing heavily in R&D on carbon dioxide 2 3 sequestration. As somebody who has power plants in 4 two states that made major investments in nuclear, 5 you know, we have a claim that we already gave at the office, so to speak. 6 7 And I have to defend my own state on that 8 ground. 9 GOVERNOR MANCHIN: The only thing I'd say on that, is, how much is the percentage and what's 10 correct, but if 50 percent of the power is coming 11 12 from one fuel source such as coal, shouldn't 50 percent of the resources go to finding the clean 13 14 energy or the technology that would clean that up? 15 We think that would be appropriate. 16 Mr. Pickens, if I may, you talk about 17 natural gas, and I have a lot of natural gas in my 18 state. But the pricing is so volatile. It goes anywhere from \$2 an Mcf, to \$10, \$11, \$12, comes 19 20 right back down. It's not as stable. How would you be able to do what you're 21 wanting to do, to fuel America, when the price is so 22

1 volatile?

2	MR. PICKENS: Well, let's make a
3	Comparison, and let's compare it to gasoline. So one
4	Mcf of natural gas equals eight gallons of gasoline.
5	So, if today, eight gallons of gasoline were \$16,
6	say, natural gas is \$4, so we're actually they are
7	comparable, one to eight, so on that basis, it's four
8	times cheaper to use natural gas than it is and
9	you mentioned \$10, \$11, or \$12, and if you're up to
10	\$12, you're 75 percent of the cost of gasoline.
11	And I can promise you, gasoline is going
12	to go up. You're going to be back at the end of the
13	year I say you'll be back to \$75 a barrel, and it
14	will move on up from there.
15	I think it's more of a point of
16	education because natural gas, I think, will always
17	be cheaper than foreign gasoline or diesel. So it's
18	volatile, yes, but it works very well in California.
19	I mean, you fuel a lot of stuff out there and there's
20	very little complaint about the volatility of it.
21	It honestly does not move that quickly.
22	I mean, you'll have you'll see natural gas, it

was \$13 a year ago, and it's now \$4. That's a big 1 move, no question, but, also, you've come from \$147 2 3 on oil, down to \$40. GOVERNOR RENDELL: So volatility exists 4 5 in both. Brian? GOVERNOR SCHWEITZER: Well, it was the 6 7 optimists who said the glass was half full; the pessimists said half empty, and the engineer said, 8 9 the glass is the wrong size. 10 This is an engineering question for both 11 Boone and John. We're talking about a smart new grid, which means meters, of course, but it also 12 13 means an investment in transmission systems. 14 The utilities tell me all over the 15 country, well, we don't need to build a brand new DC 16 override that goes east to west and north to south, 17 so we can bring in the wind and the solar and coal 18 gasification to the coasts and the big cities; we just need to have these interconnects. 19 20 Then some of the engineers say, look, you 21 know, it was Eisenhower who said I'm going to build a highway system that you can drive from New York to 22

Los Angeles on, the interstate highway system. And
 they said, he's a damn fool or what? I mean, you
 already can. The problem is, you have stop 140 times
 along the way.

5 Should we invest in this new DC overlay 6 with just on and off ramps every 50 miles, or should 7 we continue to invest in the AC system that patches 8 this five or six grids together?

9 This is a big debate that's going on in this country, and during the next 180 days, they're 10 going to decide, are we going to spend \$150 billion 11 one way or the other way. What say you? 12 MR. ROWE: Well, my bet would be on 13 14 strengthening and expanding the AC system, but I've 15 worked with both, and, as you say, this is a 16 question of engineering economics. You ought to be 17 able to get an answer we can both trust. 18 But, you know, with DC, you still have to convert it to AC at those off ramps, to put it into 19

20 the existing system. I think the key thing is that 21 you make the system, you get it accessible to as many 22 kinds of energy as possible.

GOVERNOR RENDELL: We have time for one 1 2 last question. Anybody? Yes, go ahead, Chet. 3 GOVERNOR CULVER: I've got a question for 4 both Boone and John. What is missing? I mean, we've talked about this for 40 years. 5 6 What has to happen next? We know that 7 diversification is critical. We need everything; we need natural gas, wind, biofuels, hydro. 8 9 Who's going to put this American plan together? Who's going to lead the effort, so that 10 11 we stop competing against each other, and how do we get rid of that turf? 12 13 In Iowa, our biofuels industry has been 14 worth \$8 billion in the last ten years, 50,00 jobs; 15 our wind energy production is off the charts, we're 16 now second in the nation. We created 2,300 green-17 collar jobs related to wind in the last 20 months. 18 But who's going to put this national plan together? Very honestly, you know, what has to 19 20 happen next? 21 I'm not a part of the working group right 22 now, but where do we go from here?

23

MR. PICKENS: Could I try it first? What 1 we have, sitting around this table, -- I would 2 suppose we're about 90 percent in agreement that 3 4 we've got a problem, we understand the problem, and now how do we fix it? 5 6 And I think we're 90 percent in agreement 7 that we do it with our own resources. Okay, how does it unfold? 8 9 There's no question when you say "who is it and how does it unfold " from this point forward, 10 you may have a person, a place on this one that will 11 cause something to happen, not say we're going to be 12 energy independent and walk off, never to mention it 13 14 again. 15 Obama has gotten pretty -- I think he's 16 brought it down to a fine focus, and when he says in 17 ten years, we will not be importing any oil from the 18 Mideast, he said that when he was nominated, and I've heard him say it three other times; he doesn't 19 20 hesitate to say it. 21 And when you start to say we're not going 22 to import any oil in ten years from the Mideast, you

are saying something that people are going to 1 remember. I spent \$58 million bringing the energy 2 3 issue to the surface in this country, and with a million and a half people, I can tell you that there 4 5 are a lot of people that understand a lot more about energy today than they did six months ago. 6 7 And so the question is not going to go away, and to answer your deal, who is it that's 8 going to lead, I think that the President is the 9 person that has to lead. I think that he has to 10 11 come --12 A month ago or two months ago, we started giving the import number that comes into the United 13 14 States every month and how much it costs. Last 15 month, January, cost us \$402 -- excuse me, we 16 imported 407 million barrels of oil a day -- let me think, that's not right -- 407 barrels for the month, 17 18 at cost of \$19 billion. 19 And we'll do that every month, is what we're going to do. I would like to see the 20 21 President tell us what the plan is, and then speak to those numbers and show us how we're bringing that 22

23

1 dependency down.

2	And that goes back to Frank's remarks
3	about being accountable, transparent and all. It
4	all fits into a nice pattern. We are going to solve
5	the problem, we, the American people, are going to
б	solve it. Nobody else can do it for us.
7	And it's going to go back to where we're
8	going to use all of our resources to accomplish
9	this. And it can be done, but then you're back to
10	where I was for 40 years; what's missing?
11	Leadership.
12	You did not have the leadership in
13	Washington that ever took hold of it and said, this
14	is getting critical, we're now up to 50 percent,
15	which was 1991, on imports, and we're not going to
16	go any higher.
17	GOVERNOR RENDELL: And, you know, I think
18	Boone is right that there are two things that we
19	need, leadership, and I think the President is
20	dedicated to doing this, but you also need a sense
21	of understanding of the American people. And, as
22	Frank said and he found in his poll I urge all of
23	

you to take a look at that poll -- the American 1 people want energy independence, even if they have 2 to pay a little more. 3 Interestingly, John's company, Exelon, in 4 5 southeastern Pennsylvania, put out on the bill, and б said, check off that all your electricity in your 7 home that comes from wind energy, but you pay a 10percent surcharge, because wind is a little bit 8 9 higher in the southeast right now. 10 How many families, John, checked that 11 off? 12 MR. ROWE: I don't have the number, but it's pretty significant. 13 14 GOVERNOR RENDELL: It was in the first 15 four months, over 40,000 families checked off that 16 box. Frank told you about the American people, they 17 want to be energy independent, so don't be scared 18 that the price of gas has dropped for the moment, Boone is right, it's going back and it will be back 19 by June, but it's not just price for the American 20 21 people anymore, it's energy independence. Well, this has been a great session and 22

we could go on for another hour, but we have to get to our luncheon. It's very important. We've got a packed luncheon in terms of speakers, so please get there directly. We're going to start in five or six minutes, so if you can go directly to lunch, we'll б appreciate it. Let's give Boone, John, and Frank, a big hand. (Applause.) (Whereupon, at 1:00 p.m., the plenary session was recessed, to be reconvened on Monday, February 23, 2009 at 2:30 p.m.)

1	NATIONAL GOVERNORS ASSOCIATION
2	* * *
3	WINTER MEETING: CLOSING PLENARY SESSION
4	* * *
5	JW Marriott Hotel
6	1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
7	Salon III
8	Washington, D.C.
9	* * *
10	Monday, February 23, 2009
11	2:45 p.m.
12	
13	
14	The meeting commenced, pursuant to notice,
15	at the JW Marriott Hotel on Monday, February 23, 2009,
16	in Washington, D.C., at 2:45 p.m. NGA Chair Governor Edward G.
17	Rendell, presiding.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	GOVERNOR RENDELL: Good afternoon
3	everyone. This is our concluding session on
4	strengthening our infrastructure and our vision for
5	the future. We have two terrific speakers today who
6	are ready to comment about successes, mostly in foreign
7	nations.
8	Our first speaker is Dr. Robert Atkinson,
9	president of the Information Technology and
10	Innovation Foundation. He also served as chair of
11	the National Surface Transportation for
12	Infrastructure Financing Commission.
13	He brings a welcome balance on
14	transportation infrastructure, as well as
15	communications and technology. He is the author of
16	the State New Economy Index and the book, The
17	Past and Future of America's Economy: Long Waves of
18	Innovation That Power Cycles of Growth.
19	He has also served as project director at
20	the former Congressional Office of Technology
21	Assessment. Dr. Atkinson is with us today. He is
22	going to talk about his observations of other
22	

nations' approaches to funding and financing 1 infrastructure, his perspectives from his work as 2 3 chair of the Infrastructure Finance Commission, and 4 his thoughts on communications and broadband and smart grid and infrastructure development. 5 I think Dr. Atkinson's speech today is 6 7 particularly relevant because, doctor, we have been wrestling with a question of how are we going to 8 finance our real national infrastructure 9 revitalization program. 10 11 We're not unmindful or ungrateful of the money that was devoted to infrastructure in the 12 Recovery and Reinvestment Act, but it's approximately 13 14 \$150 billion, and the American Society of Civil 15 Engineers just released a report saying that our 16 nation's infrastructure gap is \$2.2 trillion -- and 17 that's just to repair the infrastructure that exists, 18 not to build new ones, like an intercity high-speed rail line. 19 20 With that as a background, you're going to tell us how we solve that problem and how we find 21 ways of funding and financing what we all believe 22

23

this country needs to do to get a first-class 1 infrastructure that will allow us to be competitive 2 3 economically in the world marketplace. Dr. Atkinson. 4 (Applause.) 5 ATKINSON: Thank you so much, Governor DR. б Rendell. It's a pleasure to be here and somewhat 7 timely as well, as Governor Rendell alluded to. 8 (Slide.) 9 I'm chair of the National Surface Transportation Infrastructure Financing Commission, 10 established by Congress in the last two authorizations 11 of the Surface Transportation Act, and we will be 12 13 releasing our final report and recommendations to 14 Congress and the administration on Thursday at the National Press Club. 15 16 So, I want to talk about -- this isn't 17 just about physical infrastructure of roads and 18 bridges, as critical as they are. For the last decade, becoming just as important is what's been 19 20 called digital infrastructure, broadband, smart grid, 21 e-government, health IT -- all sorts of information technology that will increase our prosperity and 22

23

1 quality of life.

2	(Slide.)
3	In the postwar period, the U.S. was
4	essentially the world's leader in infrastructure. We
5	are not anymore. We are actually far from being the
6	world's leader. Part of the problem is that when
7	you're the first mover, you've got a certain number
8	of limitations. We're locked into old technology.
9	Other countries have built more toll roads, for
10	example, because when they're building the roads,
11	they already have transponders in place so they just
12	go ahead and put in a toll road.
13	When we built the interstate highway
14	system, we couldn't really do tolling efficiently.
15	We had an aging system. Our infrastructure was older
16	than similar countries', and as a result, is more
17	costly to repair. Perhaps, most importantly, we have
18	an attitude that our infrastructure is good enough,
19	and therefore we really don't have a national crisis
20	and need to do something about it.
21	If you look at some other countries, I
22	think you'll see that they have a different attitude

about the critical nature of their infrastructure. 1 2 So I would argue, as Governor Rendell just said, that our goal should not be to have good infrastructure, 3 it should be to have the world's leading 4 5 infrastructure. 6 To do that we're going to have to make 7 investments, we systematically underinvested in our infrastructure, but we're going to have to do more 8 than that. We're going to have to build it smarter 9 and with new technology. Just to give you an example 10 of how far the underinvestment is. 11 12 (Slide.) We estimate, according to our Commission, 13 14 that if we want to improve the system -- and by improve 15 I mean make modest, gradual improvements, not fix it 16 Overnight -- there is a gamble of \$138 billion nationally. So this is all federal, state, and local 17 18 revenues. This is transportation law, so in other 19 words, in surface transportation, transit, and highways 20 we invest state, local, federal about \$76 billion a 21 22 year. So to improve it, we have to invest \$138

23

б

1

billion more. Just to keep it from getting worse

2	we'd	have	to	invest	\$96	billion	more.	
---	------	------	----	--------	------	---------	-------	--

3 Put that in the context of the stimulus. 4 The stimulus relies -- applaud the stimulus, and the efforts in there and I think they all help. 5 The б stimulus for roads and highways and transit and 7 bridges will essentially fill three months of this gap. So it's a good thing, if anybody says to you, 8 we solved the problem because we've done stimulus, 9 they're just simply not looking at these numbers. 10 So what do other nations do? (1) They 11 invest more money; (2) they raise more money from 12 direct user fees; (3) they embrace innovation more in 13 14 terms of how they build and manage the 15 infrastructure; and (4) they use public-private 16 partnerships more than we do. 17 We seem to have an aversion to using them. Either we think that it's totally private so we have 18 a broadband network where government isn't helping 19 20 very much or we have a rogue network where we don't 21 let the private sector do very much.

22 (Slide.)

So what do we learn from other nations? 1 2 (Slide.) One thing we learned is, they simply have 3 4 higher fuel taxes. In Canada, the gas tax is 50 percent 5 higher than here in the U.S. In the U.K., the gas taxes represent 7 to 8 percent of the purchase price. 6 7 Here in the U.S. it's 20 percent. So it's not only that. 8 I don't think we can simply say that gas taxes are the 9 answer, but they certainly play some role. 10 (Slide.) There's another thing that other nations have 11 done more aggressively than we have, and that's the 12 13 direct user fee as a way to pay for a wide variety of 14 infrastructure -- in particular, tolling and congestion 15 pricing. Cities or countries like Singapore, London, 16 Milan, Oslow, Stockholm have used cordon pricing to deal with congestion in cities and raise money. 17 18 Places like France and Japan use congestion pricing. So if you want to drive on 19 20 Japanese freeways, you're going to pay a fair amount 21 more, about 50 percent more during rush hour and you're 22 going to pay an equivalent less on off-peak period,

8

and they want to do that because they want people to 1 use other forms or to use the roads more efficiently. 2 3 If you think about tolling right now, 46 4 nations operate toll facilities. Go to a country like Mexico, Korea, or Indonesia, 100 percent of their 5 6 freeways are tolled, 95 percent in Argentina, 75 percent 7 in France, Spain, Portugal, Greece, etc. Other countries have used that as a way to raise commuting funds. 8 9 China is building a 53,000-mile interstate highway system. Ninety percent of it is going to be paid 10 for through tolls. One of the reasons I think that's 11 important is, if you want to build capacity, 12 13 particularly in your metropolitan areas, and you want 14 to rely on the gas tax, it's very, very difficult to 15 do that. 16 The gas tax can comprise 10 to 15 percent of 17 the costs of construction. So in other words, if you took all the cars driving on the facility and added 18

20 driving on that facility, you'll get about 10 to 15
21 percent of the revenue you need.

22 You simply can't get there, or it will take

up all the amount they're going to pay in gas taxes

23

you a long time to get there. So one of the things 1 that other countries have done is they particularly 2 3 use tolls and PPPs to add new, expensive capacity. 4 You look at a place like Melbourne, Australia. The state of Victoria needed to build a 5 6 Freeway; later on the city, a bypass which would cost 7 \$1.5 billion. It's simply beyond the budget to do that. They used the PPP, the public-private 8 partnership, used it as a toll facility. It was very 9 successful. In fact, if you look at the state of 10 Victoria, PPPs account for 10 percent of all capital 11 expenditures on infrastructure -- so transport, water, 12 electricity, and other things. In Italy, 90 percent of 13 14 the networkers are PPP. 15 (Slide.) 16 In Santiago, Chile, this is an example of a PPP project there. They have done 100 miles of 17 18 toll roads in Santiago since 2001 using 30-year concession contracts as PPPs. 19 20 (Slide.) 21 Another thing nations are doing, is that 22 they're increasingly relying on new technology. The

slide on your lift is a slide from Germany where they 1 2 were the first country to put in place satellitebased heavy vehicle tax. Heavy vehicles in Germany, 3 4 whether they are German or not, they pay a fee by the 5 mile that's also related to the number of axles but 6 also related to the environmental cleanliness or 7 emissions of the truck. That's on 7,500 miles of motorways in Germany. And now they've done a number of 8 studies on it, and they found that the system not only 9 raised money, it led to environmental improvements, 10 and it also led to a 10 percent decline in the transit 11 of empty trucks. 12 So the trucking industry in Germany is 13 14 more efficient now because of this system. Other 15 countries have gone further than other ones. By 16 2014, just in five short years, we'll have 17 transitions to 100 percent of vehicles in the Netherlands -- cars and heavy vehicles, trucks, will be paying by 18 the mile. So it's a satellite-based system and 19 they're doing that principally for environmental 20 21 reasons. They want to charge people for what they 22

1 use, not fixed costs that are unrelated to the

2 environmental use of the system.

I just learned today that Denmark is committed to doing that by 2016, and we all know a number of states in the U.S. are considering that or looking at it, Oregon being the leader with a pilot program.

8 I want to make one quick point on that, 9 which has come up, I think, in some of the press 10 coverage in recent days, and that's the issue of 11 privacy. Certainly, I think given that it's brand 12 new technology, it's common for people not to know 13 very much about it.

14 In fact, when we started this, I didn't 15 really know exactly how these systems would work. As 16 our commission looked at this in detail, one of the things that became clear to us is the signal where 17 18 the location of the vehicle is, is a one way signal. It's not a two-way signal. So the government cannot 19 20 track you through the signal. It's essentially like 21 Onstar on your car, or it's a one-way signal that just 22 determines the location of the car.

Then there is a little computer in the car 1 2 itself. That can be designed as it was in Oregon to 3 transmit only payment information. So when the car 4 would go to the pump in Oregon it would say, it would interrogate the OBU, the onboard unit on the car. It 5 doesn't say when did you drive, where did you drive, 6 7 or how much do you drive. It just says what do you That is the way the system should be built, not 8 owe. as the way it can be built. 9 10 So I would just suggest that these systems 11 can be designed and should be designed to be completely protective of Americans' privacy and civil 12 13 liberties. 14 (Slide.) 15 Just a few other things before I close on 16 some suggestions and recommendations. Other nations 17 are not just building better transit highway systems. 18 They are also building smart electric grids. In other words, the electric grid, if you look at the electric 19 20 grid today, it looked like what Thomas Edison built 21 100 years ago. These are grids now that are smart. 22 They could have variable time pricing so that you

23

have an incentive to run your dishwasher at night
 when you are off peak load.

3 You can have it two ways and build 4 electricity into the grid. Other countries are moving ahead aggressively. Italy is installing 25 5 million smart meters. Malta -- the country is all б 7 smart meters. Ontario recently added a \$4 monthly charge to their customers to install smart grid, and 8 9 the customers will save much more than \$4.00 a month at the end of the day. 10 11 (Slide.) 12 Other nations are funding broadband as well. As you know, or probably heard, we rank 13 14 anywhere -- probably 12 is the best number of the 15 OECD numbers on broadband -- but there are other 16 countries that have done really enormous progress. 17 In Japan, about 80 percent of homes can get 100 megabit 18 fiber to the home. In the U.S., you can pretty much only get 19 20 that if you live in Verizon territory where they've 21 installed FiOS. We're still better than Europe on

22 that, but we're still behind Asia.

1	Other countries have done a better job of
2	deploying broadband to more places. You go to a
3	country like Sweden, which is just as, if not more
4	rural in some of parts of the country as any
5	American state, they have 33 they're almost as
6	rural as Montana, they're colder than Montana and
7	they have only 33,000 homes in Sweden that do not
8	have wired broadband.
9	One of the reasons, by the way: They don't
10	think that's acceptable. They want to get that
11	number down. By the way, that's 8-10 times fewer
12	homes, on a percentage basis, than in the United
13	States. One of the ways they did that is they did a
14	PPP, public-private partnership, back in the last
15	Recession, and they invested either through tax
16	credits or grants or a combination the equivalent
17	of \$33 billion.
18	So while I applaud Congress for \$7.5
19	Billion it was a very important step we need to
20	also put that in perspective: \$33 billion in Sweden.
21	The Irish government just committed on a broadband
22	stimulus package or at least announced around \$23

billion. They have been on the same per capita
 level.

3 A related component of that also is e-4 government. Increasingly, I think that's an area 5 where other nations are exceeding us -- we used to be б number one in the world in e-government; we're not 7 anymore. If you look at progress in the U.S. in egovernment, we are frankly dead last among 40 8 9 countries in the report we're issuing out on Wednesday. So we need to do more in all these areas. 10 11 (Slide.) 12 What are some lessons learned from other countries? I think number one is develop priorities, 13 14 figure out what are the most important infrastructure 15 projects that that need to happen in your state, and 16 then determine the best ways to fund them. 17 There are going to be a wide variety of 18 ways to fund projects. I think -- I applaud you all because I worked for a governor in Rhode Island for a 19 20 while. I was struck more by governors when I came to 21 Washington. Governors are essentially more pragmatic

Actors, and so I applaud you for looking at all of

23

these various tools. We cannot solve this by keeping some tools off the table; you have to look at them all.

4 I think it's critical we rely more on 5 direct user fees as we move to a world where we are 6 concerned increasingly about global warming and 7 carbon emissions. We simply shouldn't be funding and we shouldn't be subsidizing travel. Yet today, about 8 40 percent of overall transportation funding does not 9 come from user fees. It comes from general fund subsidies 10 and other kinds of things. I think we should make 11 users pay. I ride my bike so I don't have to pay 12 anything. But in general, the more users pay, the 13 14 more efficiently they use the system and certainly there are environment benefits. 15

A number of these studies including the last *Conditions and Performance Report* by the U.S. DOT that show that if you impose user fees -- more user fees, including congestion pricing -- you simply have to build less infrastructure.

21Think of it how the phone system was22designed. It was never designed -- it was designed

intentionally for higher prices during the day and
 that was where the peak was so there would be less
 peak road. They wouldn't have to build as big a
 network. We need to be thinking like that in
 infrastructure.

I talked earlier, utilize public-private
partnerships. That's what a lot of countries now are
doing, partly as a way to modernize projects, get
them in the pipeline quickly and then paid for
through user fees over time.

We need to ensure regulation supports the 11 investment. That is not just in the highway system. 12 We need to have the right DOT or state DOT rules, but 13 14 also it's smart grid. For example, if the state were 15 to use these allowed rate recovery for smart grid 16 investments, that can play a big role in driving us We need to think about that also with 17 forward. 18 broadband to make sure that the right regulatory framework is in place to not restrict broadband 19 20 deployment.

21 And lastly, I think it's critical to 22 communicate to the public. It's striking to us -- we

did issue the interim report and the number of 1 2 comments we got back from people around the country 3 complaining about how they're taking the gas tax -when it's going into the general fund of the federal 4 5 government, where 99-plus percent of the gas tax does not go into the general fund, it goes into the 6 7 highway trust fund, which immediately goes out and funds critical projects you all are funding. But I 8 9 think a lot of Americans simply aren't aware of that. They don't understand how that funding system works 10 11 and how robust it is.

12 And the last point I'll make is that in a lot of cases, certainly not all, but when states have 13 14 embraced, or other countries where people have 15 embraced, some of these PPPs and pricing projects, 16 what they find is initial public acceptance is not as high as it might be, but then it grows. 17 18 One of the best cases is Stockholm, where 19 they just did a congested pricing program, a cordon 20 pricing program -- excuse me -- last year, and 21 initial approval was less than 50 percent. After they put 22 the system in place, acceptance rates were up around

23

somewhere in the low 60s because people saw it, they 1 liked it, they saw that it worked, they saw it was 2 3 effective. So sometimes it's simply just educating 4 people, the travelers, to say we need a better system and we need help to do it. Thank you very much. 5 6 (Applause.) 7 GOVERNOR RENDELL: We're going to take some questions for Dr. Atkinson. Let me ask the 8 first question. Dr. you talked about public-private 9 partnerships. If we were to do an infrastructure 10 11 bank, as you know, there is some support for that in 12 Congress, and the President supports it. If we were 13 to put federal seed money in to get private investment into that bank, are there changes to the 14 15 tax code that will help steer private investments 16 into an infrastructure bank or any infrastructure 17 projects? 18 I don't want to go too far DR. ATKINSON: ahead on our report on Thursday, but we do talk about 19 20 the national infrastructure bank idea. We think a 21 system like that can play an important role, 22 particularly if it's designed right.

23

1 We do have what's called private activity 2 bonds in the U.S., which are limited in terms of 3 their use. They're capped, I think at \$15 billion I 4 believe. I think, if you think about how private 5 activity bonds work, they're essentially bonds for б some private entity to build, essentially, public 7 infrastructure; yet we're treating them as if their private activities don't deserve public support. 8 9 I think we could seriously be thinking about expanding the cap, eliminating it, but 10 certainly expanding the cap so that it puts those 11 12 projects on a little bit more of a level playing 13 field. 14 GOVERNOR RENDELL: Other questions for Dr. 15 Atkinson? Yes. Governor Markell. 16 GOVERNOR MARKELL: When you talk about the 17 alternative funding schemes, including those overseas, to the extent that the U.S., as a nation, 18 does not have a national strategy, how successful 19 20 can individual states be trying to do something on 21 their own? 22 DR. ATKINSON: I actually think it's

going to be quite difficult for states to do this on 1 their own. Let me back up by saying I think it's 2 3 difficult for individual states to do this on their Collectively, if states wanted to try to do 4 own. 5 this, that might be possible, but what you want to have is scale economies. That's a critical thing. 6 7 If you read the Oregon report that came out, it talked about how difficult it would be for 8 Oregon itself to do this. One of the main reasons 9 is, if a VMT system is going to work, you essentially 10 have to have a national mandate or rule for onboard 11 12 unit standardization. Onboard units need to be built 13 into the car as standard equipment and may need to be 14 standardized so my onboard unit will work in Delaware as well as it will work in Montana. 15

Again, states could do some of that, but I think ultimately federal leadership is going to be needed.

19 GOVERNOR BEEBE: Actually, about 20 years 20 ago, Governor Markell, we had an issue with VMT 21 involving 80,000-pound trucks. It evolved into a 22 Commerce Clause issue. So individual states can,

under certain circumstances, run afoul of the Commerce 1 Clause and restrict interstate commerce to the point 2 3 that you've got a legal issue. So I think you've got 4 legal issues over and above the actual practical issues that Dr. Atkinson is talking about. 5 GOVERNOR MARKELL: That really raises the 6 7 stakes. Based on the conversation we had earlier today with the secretary of Transportation and some 8 of the conflicting views coming out of the 9 administration -- and I think the necessity in us really 10 11 trying to not only get a sense of where they are coming from, but really trying to encourage them to 12 13 come up with a strategy. 14 GOVERNOR RENDELL: Any other questions? 15 Governor Daniels. 16 GOVERNOR DANIELS: First of all, that was Thanks an awful lot. You talk about some 17 terrific. misunderstanding, lack of information about something 18 as basic as the gas tax proceeds. 19 20 Most of what you say here is strangely unknown to a lot of our colleagues in other parts of 21 government who don't understand how conventional some 22

of these things have been for a long time elsewhere.
 I hope you have another chance to give that slide

3 show frequently.

4 My question is, my impression is that the 5 cost of conventional mass transit in this country 6 keeps going up and up and up for a variety of reasons, 7 whereas the technology you were just describing does 8 improve the ROI on alternatives, congestion, pricing, smart highways, and it's a little hard to keep up 9 with the pace of that. But in general, are not these 10 11 moving in opposite directions and are there out there some exciting new alternatives to the extremely 12 expensive, time-consuming, and always subsidy-13 14 devouring mass transit systems that we've built in 15 the past?

DR. ATKINSON: In our commission, they are undecided. There were three things -- we call them buckets. Bucket one was how much money we needed to raise, bucket two was how do we raise it, and bucket three was how do we spend it.

I would call that a bucket three question.
It's a very good question, but it's a little bit

1 beyond what we focused on. I don't really feel I can 2 answer it.

3 I will say two things, though. Number one, a number of studies seem to find that if you move 4 5 toward congestion pricing you make the case -- the economic case -- for transit to be more economical and 6 7 more efficient simply because people now are paying their true cost of driving at rush hour congested 8 period, and therefore it makes more economic sense to 9 10 do transit. The second piece of this, again, is just my 11 own personal view and not that of the commission. 12 13 There are some very interesting social Web technologies, if you will, that allow -- for example, 14 15 there is one project that allows people to go on the 16 Web, have registered drivers sign up, registered 17 users, and then use the Internet to figure out trip 18 matching, which is in a way sort of like paratransit. Everybody gets to use it. 19

There are some very creative things that we'll probably end up seeing in a decade, which we can't imagine right now.

1	GOVERNOR RENDELL: Governor Ritter.
2	GOVERNOR RITTER: On the vehicle mile
3	travel, with different countries that are using it,
4	they seem like they're fairly small relative to some
5	of the places that we live. We just had an omnibus
б	transportation bill run through our house, actually
7	it's still on the way through, but it had vehicle
8	mile travel as a pilot in it.
9	One of the problems, of course, is the
10	privacy issue which you discussed. The other problem
11	was that in rural areas, people in the agriculture
12	sector wind up driving so many more miles per day in
13	making these 60-mile, 100-mile round trips and
14	therefore would be disadvantaged by a system measured
15	according to miles traveled. Are there ways is
16	there an accounting for that, some kind of equity
17	built into VMT systems that takes into account what a
18	rural area would do?
19	DR. ATKINSON: We actually looked at this
20	a lot, and I have to tell you it was our perception
21	as we went through it, that what you said was
22	probably true and what we ended up concluding is that

it may not be. Partly we don't know enough. We are 1 actually going to be laying out a fairly detailed 2 3 roadmap on a lot of these different recommendations. 4 5 Part of that is we need to look at that question of rural more, but I would just come back б 7 and say someone who drives a lot in a rural area, maybe 60 miles to get to work one day, they're 8 already paying a lot in the gas tax. They're paying 9 10 maybe 10 times more than someone who drives six miles a day. So that's something I have not thought about. 11 12 It was quite obvious but I'd forgotten about, so it's not clear if you charge per miles. 13 14 It's probably more accurate to say that people who 15 drive cars that don't get as good mileage may be 16 actually a little bit better off than people who don't because they end up paying by the mile instead 17 18 of by gas. 19 So the question is, do rural people as a

20 group tend to drive less mileage-efficient cars? We 21 just don't know that yet, whether a part of this, and 22 I figure it would be helpful in Washington, DC, for

1 this to be part of the conversation because the President has made clear he wants to put a 2 significant number of electric cars or hybrid on the 3 highway -- I think by 2015 -- but the gas tax is totally 4 5 inefficient. There is no way the gas tax measures the impact at all. 6 7 As we as a country transition towards greater use of electric cars or hybrids, we have to 8 have some other way, and that doesn't seem to apply to 9 the conversation right now, in terms of 10 transportation funding. I think the secretary of 11 Transportation does understand this. 12 DR. ATKINSON: If I can add one piece on 13 14 this, which is the environmental question. The 15 Oregon study actually found a very interesting 16 conclusion which was that even though the system was 17 designed in the pilot to be revenue neutral so that users were paying approximately the same on average 18 in their VMT fees as their gas tax, they actually 19 drove less. They drove less because it was visible 20 21 to them. So psychologically people use the pricing 22

23

1 signal to be more efficient and to become more

2 environmentally -- better environmental users, if you 3 will. So there are, I think, some interesting 4 environmental benefits that may come about from doing 5 something like that.

I think that's the case because the fuel 6 7 tax is not only small relative to other places in the world, here in the United States it's also very much 8 hidden. Unless you're a governor, you may not have 9 any idea of what price you pay on the gallon either 10 11 in federal taxes or to the state Highway Use Trust Fund. Until it's not so hidden, I don't think you 12 13 wind up impacting behavior.

14 GOVERNOR RENDELL: We have to go on to our 15 next speaker, but Rob before you go -- he is going to 16 wait around until the end of the session for any 17 additional questions. Is the report you're going to 18 have released on Thursday, is it on the Web site? 19 DR. ATKINSON: I certainly hope. It will be posted on Thursday on 20 21 www.financecommission.dot.gov. It will definitely be 22 on our Web site, which is itif.org, www.itif.org.

1 We'll be sure you all get copies.

2	GOVERNOR RENDELL: Thanks very much. Our
3	next speaker is one whom I hope will be as
4	interesting as Dr. Atkinson is Connie Hedegaard,
5	Denmark's first minister for climate and energy. She
б	was appointed to this position in 2007, but served
7	previously as minister of environment and in a series
8	of other distinguished political positions during her
9	long and successful career.
10	Joining Connie today, although I don't think
11	he'll be addressing us, is the Danish ambassador
12	to the United States, Frees Petersen. Ambassador
13	Petersen, it's a pleasure to have you here.
14	Denmark will host the UN's Climate Change
15	Conference in Copenhagen in 2009. Minister Hedegaard
16	is actively working to forward both domestic and
17	international discussions on climate change.
18	Denmark boasts an extensive network of
19	highways and bridges but they also have very well
20	developed railroads and bicycle routes and a pioneer
21	in initiating the first electric car charging
22	networks in the world.

1	Denmark is also the leader in alternative
2	energy infrastructure prompted by the oil crisis of
3	the 1970s. Denmark transformed their energy
4	infrastructure to become energy independent,
5	including generating 20 percent of their electricity
б	from wind, using turbines from the Danish turbine
7	producer Vestas.
8	The minister will share with us some of
9	the success stories and challenges Denmark has faced
10	in developing and maintaining a robust infrastructure
11	that has supported a strong economy and, as
12	importantly, a sustainable environment. Minister.
13	(Applause.)
14	MINISTER HEDEGAARD: Thank you very much,
15	Governor Rendell, for your kind introduction and thank
16	you also for this opportunity to try to give an
17	international perspective on your discussions on
18	infrastructure.
19	I must say it's a great privilege to
20	address you, those guys who are going to deliver out
21	there in the states, and we're all looking forward to
22	what will come out of all the interests and

1 deliberations here.

2	And, of course, also as Governor Rendell
3	just mentioned, as part of the upcoming UN Summit on
4	Climate Change, we hope to conclude a truly ambitious
5	and truly global deal, which is what is needed. And,
6	of course, we're doing everything we can to ensure
7	that an ambitious and truly global deal can be
8	reached in Copenhagen this year because we now have
9	this golden opportunity.
10	I'm not going into today why that is
11	necessary, but I would sort of emphasize why we all
12	have to see this as a golden opportunity. What came
13	to us as a financial crisis has turned out to be much
14	more severe, much more global, and much more complex
15	because international crisis questions our economic
16	systems, our energy systems, our infrastructure, the
17	nature of our resource demand, and our efforts under
18	global climate. In fact, it questions the core
19	structures on which we've been used to build our
20	modern economies, our modern societies.
21	We simply cannot, as I see it, afford not

21 We simply cannot, as I see it, afford not 22 to rethink the way we shape our societies and

economies. We can't afford not to invest in a green 1 sustainable future. But of course, going green is 2 not a walk in the park. It requires careful 3 decisions. It requires a lot of planning, and above 4 5 all, it requires a thorough look at existing б infrastructure systems. 7 So, how we see it is, that the current crisis provides us with a unique chance to create new 8 synergies between our transportation and our energy 9 systems. I'll go more into detail with that. 10 11 Modernizing the power grid and integrating it with our neighboring countries has actually made 12 it possible for Denmark to handle the fluctuating 13 production of power from the grid. 14 When someone else just before that 15 16 national versus doing things on a very limited basis. 17 We're trying to connect our grids with our neighboring countries, and also the EU is getting much 18 more into the whole grid issue to take care that we 19 do things as efficiently as possible. 20 21 Likewise, the introduction of electric 22 power through our transportation system, as just

1 mentioned by the governor, will provide a useful 2 storage opportunity for wind generated power, which 3 means that we can use our wind power in an even more 4 efficient way, when we can store it on batteries and 5 the car can drive on those.

6 Transportation, of course, is crucial to our 7 modern way of life but transportation is also a key 8 reason for our current emissions and energy 9 dependency. We must diversify, increase efficiency, 10 and also enhance public transportation to rid 11 ourselves of this dependency, and we must redesign 12 our transportation infrastructure.

In our experience, the planning here is 13 14 absolutely essential. For instance, since many, many 15 years, we have only allowed the bigger companies to 16 build in areas where they're supported by public 17 transportation and new housing projects along the 18 same lines, as it allowed Danish citizens to keep congestion and pollution to a minimum. So that is to 19 say, we cannot sort of build in areas where you do not 20 21 take care to get public transport there before the 22 people or the companies arrive. So it's sort of

23

1 thought into the planning from the very outset.

2	In the Danish capital, Copenhagen, one-
3	third of all the transport between home and job will
4	be by bike. That's a fact, just one-third. Kids ride
5	their bikes to school safely on paved bike paths
6	throughout the country.
7	In the Copenhagen area, there is also
8	established an integrated public transportation
9	system, commuter trains, buses, and most recently a
10	metro system, which is continuously being expanded.
11	On a national scale, we have seen great
12	results from establishing our capital and major
13	cities around the country. We have an efficient
14	intercity rail system between our capital and major
15	cities around the country. I'll give you two
16	examples. Two-thirds of all the people who go from
17	our capital to our second largest city three hours
18	away, they prefer to do so by public transport and
19	our satellite city is two hours away from the
20	capital, up to half of that transport will be by
21	public transport, primarily by train.
22	So just to show how public transport is

not just some side effect, it's actually being used. 1 2 The longer the distances are, the transportation that we use is expanded as it is and transfer better into 3 4 more comprehensive public transportation are being 5 drafted as part of an overall plan for greener 6 transport that the government had political 7 acceptance for last December and one of the things with the congestion charge and the plans that were 8 9 just mentioned by Rob Atkinson. 10 As part of this plan, we have started to 11 comprehensively address the transition from fossil to alternative fuels. Just to be frank, the current has 12 barely changed since its inception. It still uses a 13 14 hopelessly dated combusted engine using only a

15 fraction of the input energy.

16 Until other technologies have matured 17 enough to reach critical mass, sustainable biofuels 18 provide the necessary alternative to gasoline. In 19 particular, second generation biofuels set a great 20 potential for economic development in rural areas, 21 but at the same time, limit our carbon footprint, but 22 in the longer run, we believe that the electric car

1 is a promising alternative.

2	Actually, Rob Atkinson already referred to
3	Thomas Edison, but actually, Thomas Edison and Henry
4	Ford both built cars, one with electric and one with
5	a combustion engine, and in the year 1900, about 4,000
6	cars were produced in the U.S., and one-fourth of
7	them actually were electrical cars.
8	We now know who won that race at that
9	time. The result was, we've been spending the last
10	100 years on gasoline- and diesel-based auto
11	infrastructure. We now know that electric cars are
12	much smarter. Electric engines are more than three
13	times more energy efficient than combustion engines,
14	and at this time we have a golden opportunity to
15	establish the right framework of emissions for the
16	electric car market to take off because the key
17	infrastructure for electric cars, the power grid,
18	needs an overhaul as well. So we can sort of hit two
19	flies in one.
20	The basic concept of our current energy
21	system is that if you want more power, well then you
22	put in more fuel, mostly coal, gas, or oil. Very

1 simple, but also quite expensive and in a carbon restrained future, certainly not the optimum option. 2 3 4 We must rely much more on renewable energy 5 Some of which will be fluctuating beyond sources. б our control. Wind power is only produced when the 7 wind is blowing and the voltage is flowing at night, of course. 8

9 Consequently, the energy sector faces the need for more intelligent and integrated power systems 10 11 and an accompanying infrastructure. New common ground is forming between the energy sector and the 12 transport sector. In the coming decades the rise of 13 14 new common grid and energy storage technology will 15 make both renewable energy and electric vehicles more 16 competitive and more feasible.

Turning again, then, to the grand picture: The fact remains that energy security and stable energy prices are crucial to our economies and we are increasingly dependent on raising funds to pay for energy supplies from hostile regimes in volatile regions. At the same time, energy supplies are

limited, and the global demand for energy will always
 continue to grow.

3 So from our strategic perspective, we 4 have to find better alternatives. Dealing from oil 5 and gas will not solve the problem for us in the long б run. We need a more diversify portfolio of energy 7 sources to keep prices down and there is no contradiction between economic growth and an 8 ambitious policy to diversify our energy intake and 9 delete our carbon footprint. 10 On the contrary, the policies needed to 11 address climate change and revitalize our core 12 13 infrastructures are the very same policies that can 14 help rebalance and revitalize our economies. 15 Denmark has already seen the positive 16 effects of a modern energy and transportation 17 infrastructure, enhanced energy efficiency, and increased use of renewable energy. When the first 18 oil crisis pulled the plug back in the early '70s, 19 Denmark was almost completely - 99 percent -- dependent 20 21 on import of fossil fuels from the Middle East. 22 Consequently, our economy was seriously hurt by the

1 price increase and the disruption of oil supplies. 2 At one point, the situation was so grave that private 3 cars were prevented to drive on the streets on Sunday. 4 That was only in my childhood. It's not any longer 5 We managed to get our cars back on the streets, back. and more importantly, the crisis brought about a 6 7 reform of our energy supply system. Comprehensive district heating systems 8 were established in all centers and communities 9 supplied by highly efficient combined heating power 10 11 plants, and waste incineration was introduced for power and heat production. In Denmark today, we turn 12 all our household waste into energy, without 13 14 exception. 15 It requires a natural gas grid based on 16 gas findings in the North Sea and was established

17 covering a large part of Denmark, and renewable energy 18 sources and energy efficiency issues were given high 19 priority.

20 We've adopted market-based policies and 21 incentives to foster demand for the right types of 22 technology. There is an abundance of clean,

1 efficient technologies out there already, but we, the 2 politicians, need to create the environment for them 3 to be used.

In Denmark, we've introduced subsidies for 4 5 renewable energy, paid for by the consumers through б public service operations on their electricity bill. 7 That also means that it contributes to awareness because it's clear to people when they get their 8 bills that energy comes at a price. 9 We have for many years included energy 10 11 efficient standards in building codes and now we 12 require government buildings to reduce energy consumption by 10 percent in 2011. All public buildings 13 14 will have to be energy labeled and all the 15 initiatives will have a payback time of five years or 16 less. It must be carried out in real life. 17 Also, the European Union has regulating industrial design standards and fuel efficiency 18 standards, and the Danish vehicle tax system favors 19 environmentally friendly cars and electric vehicles 20 21 are exempted from taxation altogether in the next 22 many years.

23

1	So that gets back to what Governor Ritter
2	was mentioning. You have to have the incentives
3	right for people to invest in the new generation of
4	cars, and we're trying to take care of that.
5	I don't know if I dare say it here to such
6	an American audience, that for decades we have taxed
7	fuel and energy consumption to make incentives right,
8	and I must say it seems to have been working. For
9	instance, the average Danish electricity consumption
10	in a household will be 5,000 kilowatts per hour,
11	whereas in the United States, it is 11,000 at an
12	average, and our living standards will be greatly
13	similar.
14	At the same time, we have aid to spur
15	development and introduction of new and enhanced
16	technologies by doubling the public budget for
17	research and development of energy technology over
18	the past few years.
19	Finally, with the European trading scheme
20	for greenhouse gases we have established a price and
21	tax of investments in the direction of clean and
22	efficient technologies. But then, of course, the big

question is, did these political choices then harm our
 economy? And I would say no.

3 On the contrary, there is no doubt that 4 these policy decisions have served them well. And just in conclusion, I'll give you a few examples 5 because over the past 25 years, Denmark has had a 6 7 growth in our GDP of almost 80 percent. Yet at the same time, we have kept our total energy consumption 8 9 almost stable. 10 We have gone from being almost completely dependent on foreign sources of energy to being an 11 energy exporter, and we have become the most energy 12 efficient country among the EU 27. 13 14 In short, we have turned a potential 15 economic disaster into growth and job creation in a 16 new clean tech industry -- an industry that by the way, 17 is largely located in remote areas, areas that have 18 lost a lot of jobs outsourcing to China. They are not benefiting a lot from these new industries and 19 clean tech today accounts for some 10 percent of our total 20 21 exports and remains one of the fastest growing export 22 areas. Actually the exports in this field are

1 tripled over the last 10 years.

2	So as a result of investments in wind, in
3	biofuels and with insulation I could mention
4	numerous more, but all of this came out of 30 years
5	of focus in this area. We don't just claim that wind
6	growth pays off, we can prove it in clean, cold
7	numbers.
8	Just a final example, in 1980 we only had
9	3 percent of our total energy consumption stemming from
10	renewables. We have no natural hydro anything.
11	Today, we have almost one-fifth of our energy coming
12	from wind, biomass, and to a larger extent now, biogas;
13	and we have a plan for how it will be 30 percent in 2020.
14	And of course, that has been possible also due to
15	the fact that we have made a very flexible grid
16	system that can sort of take that 50 percent of our
17	electricity will be generated by wind, and it takes
18	quite a flexible grid that can achieve results like
19	that.
20	In concluding, even if you agree thus far,
21	then you may ask, but how on earth can we finance all
22	this during the current economic recession and with
າວ	

the current credit crunch? Well, if there is one 1 lesson that the financial crisis has taught me, it is 2 3 that money is not always where you expect it to be. At least, it seems it's not in the banks. 4 On the other hand, millions have had a 5 6 tendency to emerge where we didn't think to look. 7 The Copenhagen Metro System that I mentioned was planned some 15 years ago. Financing quickly became 8 an issue, and in a visionary scheme, the state provided 9 the necessary funds by selling its lands in the area 10 11 to be serviced by the new metro at soaring prices, due to, well, the arrival of the metro. 12 13 That is just one example of interrupted 14 Financing, and the public-private partnerships that 15 was mentioned as another idea. But once more -- and 16 that is in my last remark -- as I see it, we simply do not have a choice, because to continue in business 17 18 as usual isn't a choice. The only kind of growth we can afford in the 21st century is green growth, 19 sustainable growth, which you have seen here. 20 21 Just to end up with that, my recent visits 22 to East Asia have convinced me that China has

23

realized the potential of green growth and so have 1 2 others. Why, only a few weeks ago I was in the United Arab Emirates, and of all places, at this very day, 3 4 they have a target for renewables of 7 percent in 2020. Just to say that things are really 5 changing, in Saudi Arabia, they told me that they 6 7 expected in only a few decades to export more solar energy than today they are exporting oil. So what is 8 being determined during the current crisis is also 9 who will hold the strategic leadership in this 10 11 century, in this world. The urgency of the financial crisis is no excuse for neglecting the climate 12 13 crisis. 14 In our country, green is the color of our 15 future. We do not have any alternative, as we are 16 going to become 9 billion people to live on 17 planet Earth in the middle of this century. 18 The time will not permit that I go into more details about the Danish example, but just 19 summing up, I would say that the Danish case proves 20 21 that it pays off job wise, economy wise, 22 environmentally, and climate wise, and then it comes to

23

1 energy security as well.

2	I wish you all the best in your endeavors
3	and thank you very much for your attention.
4	(Applause.)
5	GOVERNOR RENDELL: Thank you very much
6	Minister Hedegaard. I thought you made a tremendous
7	amount of relevant points. One of the points we've
8	experienced in Pennsylvania, we thought a renewable
9	energy economy is that it is in fact created mostly
10	in rural areas, not urban areas in rural areas that
11	have suffered greatest losses, loss of manufacturing
12	plants, that have the toughest economic challenges
13	and I think the minister reiterated that.
14	I'd like to ask the first questions. What
15	type of carbon pricing or carbon tax or cap and
16	trade, and how has it influenced energy in Denmark?
17	MINISTER HEDEGAARD: We will be part of
18	the European Trade regime, but that's all very
19	recently. We've been waiting for that for many
20	years. Actually, we had reduced it by 21 percent before
21	2012 when it comes to emissions, but already in the '80s,
22	we introduced a carbon tax so that when you get your
22	

electricity bill back home, you can simply see that
 you have a carbon tax there.

3 The whole idea is to try to have 4 regulations and standards to be accompanied by you in 5 the household being sensitive to meet the savings, to б use it in a more efficient way. 7 GOVERNOR RENDELL: Percentage wise, what does the carbon tax add to the basic electric bill? 8 9 MINISTER HEDEGAARD: I think if I look at my bill it would be something between 15 percent, around 10 11 that. 12 GOVERNOR RENDELL: And you make up a lot of that because Denmark has also moved toward 13 14 conservation.

15 MINISTER HEDEGAARD: We need to be the 16 most energy efficient economy among the European 27 17 member states, and that was due to that really severe situation we had back in the '70s. So in periods, we 18 also have given financial assistance to some of the 19 20 things that you are considering now. You're going to 21 insulate your household, you're going to put a solar 22 panel on your roof, or whatever. In a limited period,

you will have some benefits from the state if you do
 so.

But when it comes to fuel, then we have 3 4 heavy taxation. An American in Denmark would just 5 not believe how expensive fuel is. That is, of 6 course, also a part of the explanation why the 7 figures I mentioned that people will sometime take the public transport system because it works and it 8 is smooth and it's of value, packaged by incentives 9 to use public transportation to and from work instead 10 11 of using your own car. GOVERNOR RENDELL: It's obvious we can 12

13 learn a lot from Denmark. Questions? Governor 14 Schweitzer.

15 GOVERNOR SCHEWITZER: Thank you. For my 16 colleagues, I want to remind them that Denmark has 17 been the most reliable ally that the Unites States of 18 America has had during the last 60 years, so thank 19 you for being here.

20 Denmark would fit approximately nine times 21 in my little state of Montana by size. You have five 22 and a half million people, we have about 950,000. So

as you can see, we're spread out. We are blessed 1 2 with wind resources that are superior to any place in Europe and so we're developing our wind energy but my 3 4 question is about your carbon fees/tax. We would call it a fee because we don't want to talk about 5 This is a cap and trade system. 6 taxes. 7 If you were the czarina of climate change in America today and there is no debate, no 8 9 discussion, knowing what you know today about the fee versus the carbon cap and trade and knowing that we 10

don't have a system that existed at all today, would you choose a carbon cap and trade system or would you go to a carbon fee that would be completely dedicated to research and development around carbon capture and new technologies?

MINISTER HEDEGAARD: That's a good question. I think I would go for the cap and trade, and why is that? Because if we only do it by taxes, and I'm talking at the global level, one thing is the political troubles in getting that globally. As I started to say, it is really important that we get this time a truly global deal, which means that also

1 France and China must be part of that.

2	I do then believe it's important for cap
3	and trade system, because if we only do it by taxes
4	then some of us, the richest countries, we can just
5	pay the taxes, and did we then really change our
6	habits.
7	I still think that in the cap and trade
8	scheme there is even knowledge that actually we will
9	do things differently, we will reduce emissions, and
10	I think that is the kind of process that we need.
11	So to answer your question, if I had to
12	make that choice, I would prefer that. And I also
13	think that it is most likely to have a global sort of
14	acceptance.
15	GOVERNOR RENDELL: Other questions.
16	Governor Carcieri.
17	GOVERNOR CARCIERI: Minister, I'm
18	interested I know Denmark has also been a major
19	leader in offshore wind power. Our little state of
20	Rhode Island on the East Coast a number of our
21	governors on the coast are looking aggressively at
22	offshore wind power. Unlike our friends out west
23	

1

that have lots of territory with nothing out there

2 and lots of wind --

3 (Laughter.) 4 GOVERNOR CARCIERI: You've got 950,000 5 people, Brian? I've got that many people in 48X40 but the question -- I'm interested in your 6 7 experience, your view, and Denmark's experience with offshore wind power. We are banking a lot on that 8 frankly, on the East Coast in particular as a part of 9 the solution -- not the whole solution, but a part of 10 11 the solution to our energy needs. 12 So I'm really curious if you could expand a little bit about Denmark's experience with offshore 13 14 wind. 15 MINISTER HEDEGAARD: We have some very 16 good experience with that. Also you know, what we had put on land -- we have a lot of it, land turbines --17 18 but of course, people always will have the tradition of "not in my backyard," and some of the problems will 19 20 be solved when you put it offshore. And we sort of 21 managed to integrate it very well in the grid, and it 22 comes to the land and it works real well technically,

52

1 but it's also much easier for people to accept

2	because it's sort of not in their sight.
3	Then of course, we will have a major
4	conservation organization. I know that is also
5	sometimes giving problems in the United States.
6	Actually in Denmark, even with those organizations,
7	we'd say this is better than the alternative and
8	they will support it, and we will in the next three
9	years put up more than 800 megawatts of new installed
10	capacity offshore, which is adequate for the
11	electricity consumption of 800,000 households.
12	As the gentleman from Montana was saying,
13	we are 5.4 million. That's quite a bit and that is
14	between now, this year, and 2012.
15	GOVERNOR CARCIERI: Just to follow on, I'm
16	curious. The relative cost between I know as we
17	look at it, offshore wind, you know, is more
18	expensive. The maintenance cost and a whole series
19	of things, not having the experience but as we talk
20	to people I'm curious, from your experience, because
21	you've been at it longer than any of us, about the relative
22	costs between onshore wind and offshore.

MINISTER HEDEGAARD: We have two different 1 If we have wind turbines on land we can 2 systems. 3 have some feed-in turrets. But when we make an 4 offshore park we will make sort of a bit round and 5 the best bit will win it. You're right, it is a bit 6 more expensive, but there are a lot of advantages 7 because you get a lot more megawatts at the same time, and it is a very efficient way of using it, and 8 9 we can expand our grid accordingly. It ends up to be a more efficient way of procuring our energy security 10 for the future when we will not have as much oil and 11 12 gas as we used to have. 13 GOVERNOR RENDELL: We're going to have to 14 go on to the award section of our program, but 15 Governor Gregoire. 16 GOVERNOR GREGOIRE: If I understood you 17 correctly, you've been involved in the cap and trade 18 system for two years? 19 MINISTER HEDEGAARD: Since 2005, and it's been in place for real since 2008. 20 21 GOVERNOR GREGOIRE: As a follow up to 22 Governor Schweitzer's question, assuming that our

23

country went forward with cap and trade, what were 1 those initial issues, obstacles, concerns that you 2 3 had to deal with and lessons learned in the process 4 of implementing the cap and trade system? 5 MINISTER HEDEGAARD: I think one of the 6 big challenge in the EU has been to take care that 7 there are not too many facilities out there. Obviously it is only of value if there is not a 8 surplus to be traded, because else you will not have 9 a price on carbon. I think EU did that, so for the 10 first three years they sort of made it like an 11 exercise, and then it was for real from 2008. 12 13 Now we have some experiences here. A lot 14 of Europeans are trying to take care that, for 15 instance, in Congress and other places where they are 16 considering how to do this in the United States, that 17 the good experiences and the what not to do 18 experiences are being carried into your system. And 19 I should maybe here mention that Japan is considering to do such a system from next year. 20 21 Australia likewise and also New Zealand. So it's coming out as regional systems but 22

of course the idea should be that in the end they can
 speak for one another.

3 GOVERNOR RENDELL: Thank you very much, 4 minister. We are very impressed by not only what 5 Denmark has done but about your passion for this and б for climate change that comes through loud and clear. 7 I think we've all learned a lot and also from Ron Atkinson. I would thank him for his excellent 8 9 presentation. Let's give them a round of applause. 10 (Applause.) 11 GOVERNOR RENDELL: Ambassador, again, thanks for your presence. We have just two more 12 pieces of business to do, but I'd ask you all to 13 14 stick around because we have to vote on our policy 15 reports. But first, I'm going to launch into the 16 governors' NGA awards, the public-private 17 partnerships. 18 As you know, a number of governors submitted recommendations for the awards and 19 nominations, and it was a very difficult choice to 20 21 make. We have a public-private selection committee 22 chaired by Mr. John Schmidt in evaluating the

nominations and came up with two winners. Here to 1 present the first winner is Governor Martin O'Malley, 2 3 which gives you a hint that that winner does business 4 in Maryland. 5 GOVERNOR O'MALLEY: Governor Rendell, thank you very much. Thank you, governor, for your б leadership in elevating our understanding of the 7 important need of infrastructure. 8 9 (Slide.) 10 The topic of this first award is also infrastructure in a sense, but it is green 11 12 infrastructure. It is about the "greenprint" that we've been able to include in our state that I'm 13 14 going to show you in a second. 15 I'd like Jack Dangermond from ESRI to come 16 up and join me. 17 (Slide.) 18 There are very few people in this world whose talents and insights have had the widespread 19 20 impact that this man and this company have had with 21 the ever-wider embrace and rapid evolution of 22 geographic information systems, or GIS.

1	The ever-broader reach of GIS strengthens
2	the very important relationship between people and
3	place; strengthens our understanding of the relationship
4	between people and the land, the air, the weather
5	that we need to be able to protect so that we can
6	survive; and strengthens the relationship between our
7	actions in this generation and the survival of future
8	generations.
9	So ESRI and Maryland have teamed together
10	to produce what we call IMAP, a first in the nation
11	based map of every parcel and plot of land in our
12	state.
13	(Slide.)
14	As well as the ability to plot all of our
15	public infrastructure and our green infrastructure.
16	This is out BayStat Web site. Through GIS Web site
17	technology powered by ESRI, we are able to see the
18	main causes of pollution in the Chesapeake Bay
19	(Slide.)
20	the degree to which the farms in yellow
21	and the wastewater treatment plants in red, storm
22	water runoff in blue, and septic systems in black

1

23

contribute to that force feeding of nitrogen

phosphorous sediment into the Bay. But we also have 2 3 a solutions page. 4 (Slide) 5 It talks about the human actions that we can take in order to combat that pollution, ranging б 7 from covered crops to land conservation programs and rural legacy, which then brings me to green prep. 8 9 (Slide.) 10 Our first-in-the-nation ecological arranging of every parcel of land in Maryland in order that we 11 12 might do a better job together of protecting the essential green lungs, green liver, green kidneys --13 14 that the ecological body of our Chesapeake Bay region needs to be able to have in order to function 15 16 in a healthy manner. 17 This is Maryland's greenprint. The green areas are our most economically valued and important 18 19 parcels in our state. The dark green represents what 20 we've protected so far within that greenprint and 21 the light green represents what we need to protect 22 into the future, either with better land

1 conservation or better land use policies.

```
2
                 Let's click on one of the counties,
 3
      Charles County. You can see the percentage that's
      protected down there in the pie shape and the amount
 4
      that still needs to be protected. Zooming in now.
 5
 б
                 (Slide.)
 7
                 Maryland has long has an open space
      program where we take our transfer tax to purchase
 8
9
      open space. We zoom in further.
10
                 (Slide.)
11
                 To this little red dots, red stars.
      Within the greenprint you can go down on to a
12
13
      parcel.
14
                 (Slide.)
15
                 There for a parcel in the middle, click
16
      again.
17
                 (Slide.)
18
                 Layer on the aerial photography, click
      again.
19
20
                 (Slide.)
21
                 You can see, when it came to the Board of
22
      Public Works, why we protected it. Click again.
```

(Slide.)

2	Then you can see the parcel we purchased
3	is mostly a wooded parcel that has a farm in the
4	corner of it. That is our greenprint, and Jack and
5	his people are already busy at work with Fed Stat,
6	the ability to geo-relate all the spending that's
7	going to happen with regard to the Recovery and
8	Reinvestment Act and see how that impacts each parcel
9	of land and to be able to track it in a timely way.
10	It's also spatially related. So on behalf
11	of the state of Maryland and the National Governors
12	Association, it's my honor, Jack, to present you with
13	the NGA Corporate Fellows Public-Private Partnership
14	Award for your tremendous partnership with Maryland
15	on IMAP and Maryland's GreenPrint that's
16	contributing so much to our shrewd pursuit of
17	progress. Congratulations!
18	(Applause.)
19	MR. DANGERMOND: Thank you very much,
20	governor, and I appreciate the selection committee
21	and what you did to pick us out. I know it must have
22	been a tough job, but I appreciate NGA for this honor.
23	

1 What the governor and his team have done is something very significant, which is to spatialize --2 open up and make transparent decision making that's 3 happened here in the state. Those of you who know 4 his history in the city, he did that with CityStat 5 and now is expanding it to BayStat and beyond. 6 7 I think this is wonderful for Maryland, governor. I think it's going to do a lot of good for 8 them, but I also think your work and the footprints 9 that you have laid down as a methodology to show 10 other states how to do this, and also our federal 11 government to do the same thing, that will make it -- in 12 my humble opinion -- that will make America better and 13 14 make us more connected to government through visualization and rational thinking about where we do 15 16 things and how we do them. 17 Again, on behalf of my colleagues at ESRI, I want to thank them. I couldn't have done it 18 without them, and it also didn't happen without your own 19 staff working hard on this. I just want to say thank 20 21 you. 22 (Applause.)

23

1	GOVERNOR RENDELL: Governor Gregoire, if
2	you're jealous, this next award and Chris will tell
3	you more about it is going to a company that I think
4	has done as much for all the states and for all of
5	our areas, particularly in education, as any company
б	in the United States in recent times. So Chris, I
7	know you are excited to present this award.
8	GOVERNOR GREGORIE: I thank you, Mr.
9	Chairman. I truly am pleased to announce this award,
10	which recognizes a very important company for a long
11	time of hard work in one particular area. It's
12	called Partners in Learning Worldwide Initiative.
13	It's in the area of education, and the award goes to
14	Microsoft.
15	Microsoft, of course, is a major force in
16	the good state of Washington but this initiative,
17	Partners in Learning, reflects a long-term commitment
18	to quality education through innovative uses of
19	technology and to have teachers and students reach
20	their greatest potential.
21	Partners in Learning is a five-year \$500
22	million investment to help students in the

1 21st century with skills and to strengthen the Initiative in this country stresses the 2 workforce. 3 value of aligning government as well as education and business expertise in critical conversations that 4 support education reforms specific to a state. 5 This partnership already has invested 6 7 \$35 million in the United States as well as targeted full-time executive level positions to 8 work with the states through each one of them in a 9 specific project area. 10 11 The project has allowed Microsoft to move beyond what many have seen as the image of a grand 12 tour to our first future with the states. Five years 13 14 ago, the state of Washington was the first invited to partner in this worldwide initiative. 15 This collaboration included a K-12 school district in our 16 17 state and a higher education institution, both of 18 which agreed to target mathematics instruction and to work across institutional lines in the K-12 system 19 into higher education in the very important area of 20 21 mathematics. 22 The program has been truly successful.

23

1 The middle school principal in that particular school 2 district has told me that the partnership with the 3 state and with Microsoft has fundamentally changed 4 the way in which that district teaches our young 5 students mathematics and has resulted in real results 6 in terms of their experience.

7 In addition to the experience in our 8 state, Microsoft is engaged with five other states to 9 work together on key education issues in those 10 respective states to improve schools in crisis 11 through career development. Those states include 12 Florida, Michigan, New Mexico, Pennsylvania, and 13 Virginia.

14 So I am very pleased today on behalf of my 15 state, the state of Washington, and on behalf of the 16 National Governors Association, to give this award to 17 Microsoft, that is so richly deserved. And so here, 18 Mr. Chairman and members of the National Governors Association, to accept this very important public-19 20 private partnership award is Pamela Passman, the 21 corporate vice president and deputy general counsel, 22 Global Corporate Affairs for Microsoft in Redmond,

1 Washington.

Congratulations, Pam, and to all of you at 2 Microsoft for being a role model in educating the 3 workforce of tomorrow. Thank you. Congratulations! 4 5 (Applause.) MS. PASSMAN: Thank you. We are honored to 6 7 be here and accept the award on behalf of Microsoft employees and our partners who share a passion for 8 supporting our students and teachers and the role that 9 technology can play in enabling opportunity and 10 11 learning. 12 Thank you, Governor Gregoire, for your 13 leadership and your ongoing support and passion for 14 our students and for improving education in 15 Washington state. We are very pleased to have 16 started Partners in Learning in Washington to help 17 teachers use technology to improve student 18 achievement. 19 Through our Partners in Learning program across the United States, we've reached 3.3 million 20 21 teachers and students to increase access to 22 technology and improve learning. At Microsoft, our 23

1 mission is to enable people and organizations to reach their full potential. That is collaborations 2 3 such as Partners in Learning. 4 This opportunity brings together the talent and resources of public and private 5 6 institutions to do amazing things, things that we 7 could not do alone. At Microsoft, we are inspired by teachers who are using technology to educate in new and 8 innovative ways, by the principals and administrators 9 who are using new leadership and collaboration 10 11 methods, and by students who are exploring, learning, and reaching their potential. 12 13 We very much appreciate the opportunity to work with your governments, your school authorities, 14 15 your teachers, and your students. Governor Rendell 16 also ask me to be sure I mention the Elevate America 17 program that we announced yesterday, which really expands on the work that we've done with 18 Partners in Learning. It expands the work that we've 19 done with our unlimited potential community 20 technology skills program and recognizes that in this 21 very challenging environment, we've got to be sure 22

1 that all our citizens -- our citizens who are unemployed, underemployed, the working poor, those 2 3 citizens who are here trying to get more opportunities -- they have access to computer skills 4 5 training. 6 So we are very pleased to announce Elevate 7 America. We hope that all the governors will participate in this and bring access -- learning 8 access, certifications, and new training opportunities --9 to your citizens for computer skills. Thank you very 10 much for this recognition. 11 12 (Applause.) 13 GOVERNOR RENDELL: I just want to say, 14 Elevate America is going to work with us. To find out more information about Elevate America, what 15 16 should governors do, Pam? 17 MS. PASSMAN: We're going to contact your 18 Office, but Don Burdick from Microsoft, Fred Humphries, myself, we'd like to hear from you. We're 19 also going to come to you. We want each of you 20 governors to be involved. Thank you so much. 21 22 (Applause.)

1 GOVERNOR RENDELL: Our last order of 2 business is our policy reports, and we'd have to 3 approve them. Before we do that, I want to just read 4 5 briefly a statement we all adopted at the governors 6 only meeting because I think it should get into that 7 -- I know we're going to release it to the press, but I think it should enter into the public domain. 8 This is a statement voted on unanimously by all the 9 governors. It says as follows: 10 "Governors Confident in Nation's Future. 11 Our states and our citizens are experiencing 12 unprecedented fiscal challenge. As leaders of our 13 14 states, we face these difficult economic times not as 15 Democrats or Republicans, but as governors charged 16 with the public trust and well-being. 17 "We're unified in our unwavering belief that 18 the United States economy is resilient and the true strength of our nation remains the ingenuity, 19 perseverance, and hard work of the American people. 20 We've been through tough economic times in the past 21 22 and have always emerged a stronger nation in a more

1 vibrant economy.

2	"Our country's ability to persevere is not
3	in question, and we know better days truly lie ahead.
4	Working together we can speed recovery, provide more
5	opportunities and ensure a prosperous future."
б	I think that's an important statement at a
7	time when many Americans are questioning whether
8	there is hope, whether there is a bright future, and
9	we all want to believe that the answer to that
10	question is a resounding yes. Now our policies from
11	our various subcommittees.
12	Governor Gregoire will present the
13	Economic Development and Commerce Committee and then
14	Governor Heineman, the Education Early Childhood
15	Early Workforce Development. Governor Douglas will
16	present for Health and Human Services. Governor
17	Schweitzer will present for natural resources.
18	Everybody go from there.
19	GOVERNOR GREGOIRE: Mr. Chairman, yesterday
20	the Economic Development and Commerce Committee held
21	an executive session, during which time we talked
22	about surface transportation policy in the country in

1 anticipation of congressional action to reauthorize 2 the federal surface transportation funding mechanism for our country, which will expire later this year. 3 4 We also discussed in open session recent 5 developments and conditions in the states with regard 6 to residential foreclosures and mitigation efforts. 7 We recommended to the committee the adoption by the NGA membership of seven EDC policies -- six that are 8 existing, three of which are simple amendments in the 9 nature of a substitute, and one proposed new policy. 10 11 Those are listed in the blue packet that's before each of us having to do with streamlined sales tax; 12 13 base realignment and closure, the BRAC; housing 14 finance; surface transportation; community 15 development; affordable housing and housing 16 assistance; rail transportation and international trade and investment. 17 18 On behalf of the committee, I move the adoption of our policies. 19 20 GOVERNOR RENDELL: Is there a second? 21 GOVERNOR SCHWEITZER: Second. 22 GOVERNOR RENDELL: All in favor say "aye."

1

(Chorus of "ayes.")

2 GOVERNOR RENDELL: The motion is adopted. 3 Governor Heineman.

4 GOVERNOR HEINEMAN: Mr. Chairman, the 5 Educational Early Childhood and Workforce Committee б discussed transforming the workforce system and 7 upskilling American workers in our meeting. We heard from Steve Walker, president and CEO of TPI Composites, 8 an international manufacturing firm for wind energy 9 and transportation, and Pamela Passman from Microsoft, 10 whom we just recognized. 11 12 She discussed the Innovate America 13 program. The presentations and subsequent 14 discussions focused on the rising unemployment crisis 15 and the workers' skill shortage. Our speakers 16 offered strategies governors can employ to upskill workers by ensuring the continued competitiveness of 17 18 American businesses in the 21st century. The committee adopted three new policies 19 and four amended policies. Having not heard any 20 21 concerns on behalf of the ECW committee, I move the

22 adoption of our policy recommendations en bloc.

1 GOVERNOR RENDELL: Second. (Motion seconded.) 2 3 GOVERNOR RENDELL: All those in favor say 4 "aye." (Chorus of "ayes.") 5 б GOVERNOR RENDELL: The ayes have it. 7 Governor Douglas, now presenting for the Health and Human Services Committee. 8 9 GOVERNOR DOUGLAS: Mr. Chairman, the committee had a very important, dynamic, and lively 10 11 discussion on health care reform. The speakers were Congressman John Dingell, who served in the U.S. 12 House, along with Mike Leavitt, our former colleague 13 14 from Utah and immediate past secretary of Health and 15 Human Services. 16 Very shortly, the chairman will launch a Health care reform task force on behalf of the 17 18 association. So it's a good framework for that important work that we'll be doing during the coming 19 20 months. 21 On policies, the committee adopted --

recommended amendments to existing policies to them

22

1 in the form of a substitute and reformed three existing policies in the green packet, and I move that they be 2 approved en bloc. 3 4 GOVERNOR RENDELL: Second. 5 VOICES: Second. GOVERNOR RENDELL: All those in favor say 6 7 "aye." 8 (Chorus of "ayes.") 9 GOVERNOR RENDELL: The "ayes" have it. Now, Governor Schweitzer, presenting the Natural 10 11 Resources Committee. 12 GOVERNOR SCHWEITZER: The Natural Resources Committee met yesterday, and we heard from 13 14 two excellent speakers, Pat Wood and Jessie Berst on 15 infrastructure, including the smart grid and 16 pipelines. During our meeting, we approved five 17 amendments and we affirmed one policy. I now move 18 that we approve them en bloc. If you want to read them, all it's in the yellow sheet. We move them en 19 20 bloc. 21 GOVERNOR RENDELL: Is there a second. 22 VOICES: Second.

1 GOVERNOR RENDELL: All those in favor say 2 "aye." 3 (Chorus of "ayes.")

GOVERNOR RENDELL: Now lastly, Governor
Douglas, would you please move the Executive Committee
Policies?

7 GOVERNOR DOUGLAS: I'm happy to do that Mr. Chairman. Six policies that the Executive Committee 8 approved -- and recommends one with respect to secure 9 state driver's licenses, that ID cards to make real ID 10 11 more flexible and acceptable to the states. One in 12 response to federal-state partnerships to ensure that 13 the laws that are passed give states adequate 14 flexibility; Medicare drug benefit recommendations 15 dealing with new eligibles, with Medicaid and 16 Medicare eligibility; and reaffirmation of three 17 policies on settlement funds, political status for 18 Guam, and equal rights. I move they all be approved en bloc. 19

20 GOVERNOR RENDELL: Second.

21 VOICES: Second.

22 GOVERNOR RENDELL: All those in favor say

1 "aye."

(Chorus of "ayes.") GOVERNOR RENDELL: With that note, it is my pleasure to bring -- gosh I never had the chance to do this -- it's my pleasure to gather the 2009 Winter Conference of the National Governors Association to a conclusion. (Applause.) (Whereupon, at 2:35 p.m., the meeting was adjourned.)